

KANSAS JUDICIAL COUNCIL BULLETIN

OCTOBER, 1946

PART 3—TWENTIETH ANNUAL REPORT

This Bulletin Contains
an Article on
“COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE”

and the

**ANNUAL STATISTICAL REPORT ON
KANSAS COURTS**



**FOR YEAR ENDING
JUNE 30, 1946**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
FOREWORD	126
THE COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE DOCTRINE IN AUTOMOBILE CASES.....	127
By THOMAS A. COWAN	
THE PRACTISING LAW INSTITUTE.....	132
By CONSTANCE LORD BROWN	
STORMONT LIBRARY INTO NEW QUARTERS.....	133
By MRS. REX CULLEY	
COMMENT ON STATISTICAL TABLES.....	133
By RANDAL C. HARVEY	
SUMMARY OF WORK OF THE SUPREME COURT.....	135
SUPREME COURT—NINETEEN-YEAR SUMMARY.....	137
TABLE A-1—Roster of Judicial Officials, as of July 1, 1946.....	141
A-2—Summary of District Courts, by Districts.....	143
A-3—Summary of District Courts, by Counties.....	149
A-4—Disposition of Divorce Cases.....	153
TABLE A-5—Types of Civil Cases Commenced in District Courts.....	157
TABLE B-1—Disposition of Criminal Cases in District Courts.....	161
B-2—Disposition of Criminal Cases in County and City Courts.....	165
TABLE C-1—Probate Courts, Summary of Business Handled.....	168
C-2—Estates of Decedents.....	172
C-3—Guardianships and Trusts	176
TABLE D-1—Disposition of Civil Cases in County Courts.....	180
Disposition of Civil Cases in City Courts.....	182

FOREWORD

From time to time, it has been suggested that the doctrine of comparative negligence should be adopted in this state by legislative enactment. In our December, 1944, BULLETIN we published an article by the Honorable George Templar on "The Doctrine of Comparative Negligence." At the June, 1946, meeting of the Central Kansas Bar Association, Thomas A. Cowan, Professor of Jurisprudence of the College of Law of the University of Nebraska, delivered an address on "The Comparative Negligence Doctrine in Automobile Cases," which the Judicial Council believes deserves wider publicity than it received and accordingly we include it in this issue of the BULLETIN. The Judicial Council would appreciate the comment and views of bench and bar on the general question whether the doctrine should be adopted in this state.

The Judicial Council here expresses its thanks to the various judges and the clerks of the several courts for their promptness and efficiency in reporting statistical information requested by the Judicial Council, summaries of which have been prepared and are printed herein. We are also indebted to Randal C. Harvey, secretary of the Judicial Council, for his analysis of and comment upon the statistics.

Attention is directed to the article on "The Practising Law Institute" as a means whereby lawyers may have the benefit of refresher courses and extended study, and also to the article on the Stormont Medical Library. This library has been segregated from the general State Library and affords a ready access to the latest medical texts and magazines by members of the medical and legal professions.

THE COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE DOCTRINE IN AUTOMOBILE CASES

By THOMAS A. COWAN, Professor of Jurisprudence, College of Law, University of Nebraska

The doctrine of comparative negligence is a device invented to mitigate in some measure the harshness of the common law rule that a plaintiff who is guilty of any degree of negligence which contributes to his injury may not recover in tort from a negligent defendant.

This rule of contributory negligence has been attacked by eminent jurists on and off the bench for over a century, but despite these attacks it has survived, although its modern form is far different from the once rigorous common law doctrine.

The rule that contributory negligence bars recovery has excited the interest and elicited the explanations of many writers learned in the law. Various reasons have been advanced in the course of years for the doctrine. One of these is the "clean hands" rule. It is said that where plaintiff is himself at fault he should not recover even though the major share of the blame lies with the defendant. This theory has long since been discarded, together with the cases based on it such as those which denied a plaintiff recovery because he had been driving on Sunday. Now it is well recognized that the plaintiff's fault must be a proximate cause of the injury if he is to be barred as contributorily negligent. His otherwise illegal or even reprehensible conduct is irrelevant.

Another explanation is that the jury is by nature incapable of apportioning damages. But the apportionment of damages in tort is really of no more complex a nature than apportionment or determination of damages in contract, and this theory is not well received today as an explanation for contributory negligence.

Still another explanation is that the jury does not know how to apportion fault, but this explanation suffers the same effect as the above. Under proper instructions, fault or negligence which is merely a question of fact is no more difficult than hundreds of other legal concepts juries have to wrestle with.

Finally, the explanation most widely accepted is that the plaintiff's negligence is an intervening cause insulating his injury from defendant's negligence.

Despite the lip service which is almost universally paid to the rule of contributory negligence, the scope of the doctrine has been whittled down by the courts to a certain extent in recent years. To begin with, the rule that the plaintiff's fault, if it is to bar recovery, must be a proximate cause of his injury, permits plaintiffs to recover in situations where liability would be denied in the past. Again, where plaintiff's misconduct plays no part in bringing about the injury but increases the damages, courts will sometimes apportion the loss. Thus in *O'Keeffe v. Kansas City Western Ry. Co.*, 87 Kan. 322, 124 P. 416, plaintiff's intoxicated condition aggravated the injuries suffered from a fall although it did not contribute to the fall. The court here permitted apportionment of the damages. The rule of contributory negligence is not applicable where defendant's fault is intentional or his conduct is wilfull, wanton, reckless or otherwise disregardful of the safety of life or property.

Moreover, the rule of division of negligence into degrees such as slight, ordinary, and gross has the effect of mitigating the severity of the rule of contributory negligence. Of late years, courts have been showing increased distaste for this doctrine of degrees of negligence, although it must be said that in proportion as the doctrine is falling into disfavor with courts it is coming in for favorable attention by legislatures.

Thus, in many situations the courts themselves have relaxed the mechanical operations of the rule of contributory negligence and some slight gains must be credited to the judiciary in this connection.

It remained for the legislatures, however, to make a frontal attack on the doctrine with rather serious consequences so far as the quantum of judicial tort business is concerned. Employer's liability acts and workmen's compensation statutes simply abolished the defense of contributory negligence as a bar to recovery. The liability acts usually adopt comparative negligence; the compensation statutes usually abolish the doctrine of negligence altogether. The failure of the judicial system to compensate adequately for industrial injuries, the harshness of the defenses against workmen, voluntary assumption of risk, contributory negligence, and the fellow servant rule all induced the legislature to entrust the operation of the matter either to administrative agencies or special tribunals unhampered by the outmoded forms and defenses of the common law courts.

With the advent of the automobile on the road once more in prewar numbers, the prospect is imminent that the second most numerous source of tort litigation will be transferred from our common law courts to administrative tribunals. The uniform motor vehicle safety responsibility statute is being adopted in one state after another. It compels evidence of financial responsibility after even a trivial accident has happened. The act is administered by executive agencies of the government. It is obvious that it takes only one step to advance from administering a responsibility statute to administering a liability or compensation statute.

It is interesting to speculate on the form these new statutes might take. Following the analogy of employers' liability acts, an automobile liability act might well abolish the defense of contributory negligence, and in the case of guests the defenses of voluntary assumption of risk and imputed negligence. Just as under the employers' liability act, the plaintiff's fault, if any, would go to diminish damages.

An automobile compensation act would probably turn the whole problem over to an administrative agency or specialized court which would apportion damages without respect to voluntary assumption of risk, contributory negligence, imputed negligence, or the rule which denies contribution among joint tortfeasors. A system of double entry bookkeeping would be devised, each party to the accident being credited with the amount of his injuries and debited with the amount attributable to his fault, a balance struck, and an award made accordingly. Appellate courts then would only be interested in abuse of administrative discretion.

Whether or not this prospect becomes a reality, it is coming to be realized more and more that contributory negligence as a complete bar to recovery is an outmoded rule of law. This is particularly so in automobile cases, because contributory negligence is at least arguable in the great percentage of

the cases. If the plaintiff was driving, the defendant usually can make some kind of a colorable claim that the driver was negligent. If both parties were driving, it is often a matter of whose witnesses to believe. One batch says the plaintiff was driving fifteen miles an hour, sounded his horn, signaled with his hand and whistled at the crossing. Another batch will say he was doing forty or fifty miles an hour, was arguing with his wife in the back seat, lighting a cigaret, driving with one hand on the wheel, etc. Potentially, in collision cases, contributory negligence is a standard and expected defense. And even if the plaintiff is a pedestrian, although in a better position, still the defense of contributory negligence comes up against him in an astonishingly large percentage of the cases.

The above being so, the first aspect of legislative reform in this branch of tort law is almost certain to be abolition of the defense of contributory negligence as a bar to recovery and a substitution of at least comparative negligence, unless the revision is so thorough-going that comparative negligence simply becomes lost in the shuffle, as happened in workmen's compensation.

We come then to the subject of comparative negligence. This doctrine exists in restricted areas in quite a number of states, particularly in the field of railroads and other public utilities. Here it is a rough and ready balance by the legislature of the superior resources and specialized counsel available to the utilities. In the matter of general comparative negligence, we find that the doctrine has been adopted in five states. These are in the order of their adoption: Mississippi, Nebraska, Georgia, Wisconsin, South Dakota. I have hearsay information that it is being considered in a number of other states. England, the home of the contributory negligence rule, has finally abolished it and adopted a general comparative negligence statute.*

The Mississippi statute is a general unrestricted statute. It provides simply:

In all actions brought for personal injuries . . . the fact that the person injured . . . may have been guilty of contributory negligence shall not bar a recovery, but damages shall be diminished by the jury in proportion to the amount of negligence attributable to the person injured. . . .

Miss. Code Ann. (1930), § 511.

This comparative negligence statute has been applied in Mississippi satisfactorily for over thirty-five years. Courts, juries, counsels and litigants seem well satisfied with it, although specialized defense attorneys say that it results in many more plaintiff verdicts than elsewhere, particularly where large corporations are defendant.

The Nebraska statute, with which we are mainly concerned, and which was adopted verbatim by South Dakota in 1941, has been on the books since 1913. It is only a partial comparative negligence statute. It provides as follows:

In all actions brought to recover damages for injuries to a person or to his property caused by the negligence of another, the fact that the plaintiff may have been guilty of contributory negligence shall not bar a recovery when the contributory negligence of the plaintiff was slight and the negligence of the defendant was gross in comparison, but the contributory negligence of the plaintiff shall be considered by the jury in the mitigation of damages in

* The Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act, 1945. See 9 Modern Law Review (1946) 105.

proportion to the amount of the contributory negligence attributable to the plaintiff; and all questions of negligence and contributory negligence shall be for the jury. R. S. Neb. (1943) 25-1151.

It is to be noted that the legislature adopted the distinction of slight and gross negligence. These terms, which have come in for much deserved criticism because of the difficulty of their application, are used to mitigate the harshness of the flat rule that contributory negligence, however slight, completely bars recovery. The operation of the statute, therefore, calls for a judicial definition of slight and gross negligence even though many courts, including those of Nebraska before the passage of this act, declared that the single rule of "care under the circumstances" sufficed to encompass the three degrees of slight, ordinary and gross negligence. Difficult as these terms are to apply, they nevertheless permit the courts to leave to the jury the determination of the degrees of negligence of plaintiff as compared with defendant whenever more than one conclusion may reasonably be drawn from the facts.

A further complication in the act is to be noted. The negligence of the plaintiff must be compared with the negligence of the defendant. The act does not say that if the negligence of the plaintiff is slight and that of the defendant gross, the plaintiff is not barred. The negligence of the two parties must be compared. This means that patterns of slight and gross negligence *per se* cannot be developed, and the result is in effect that the triers of fact are forced to make a comparison independently in every case.

It is true that inasmuch as the act has been on the books for thirty-three years litigation has served to give certain hints for the guidance of practitioners. Nevertheless, a survey of the cases shows that in the main the fact situations are so varied that little help can be had from a survey of them for the purpose of eliciting rules to guide counsel or trial courts. The best that can be said is that one can detect a tendency in recent years to ease up somewhat on the quantum of negligence which the plaintiff may have attributed to him and still evade a directed verdict, or a reversal on appeal.

The greater the number of cases that are left to juries, the more verdicts for plaintiffs in Nebraska as elsewhere. Hence, the real effectiveness of the statute depends on the willingness of courts to allow the jury to keep the cases. It will be remembered that the Nebraska act provides that "all questions of negligence and contributory negligence shall be for the jury." It might seem to have been the intention of the legislature that the courts should accept the determination of the jury on these matters as final. Nevertheless, the Nebraska courts have retained the control which is usually exercised over negligence litigation and find, as a matter of law, that certain situations constitute slight or gross negligence. Nor do the courts hesitate to make the comparison between slight and gross negligence as a matter of law if they believe reasonable minds should not differ in conclusions to be drawn from the facts.

The question of instructions to the jury is a perplexing one under this act, and many cases went up and down before proper practice was fixed. In 1945, the Association of District Judges of Nebraska worked out a set of Standardized Instructions to Juries of which Nos. 37 and 38, 24 Neb. L. R. 219, are on the subject of comparative negligence.

The situation in comparative negligence is complicated in Nebraska in automobile cases by the existence of the guest statute. This provides that a guest may not recover unless the host operator was intoxicated or was guilty of gross negligence in the operation of the car. The question arose whether the comparative negligence act had any application to the guest statute and the court at first held that it did not. This case was *Sheehy v. Abboud*, 126 Neb. 554, decided March 30, 1934. However, on March 10, 1934, the supreme court of Kansas in *Koster v. Matson*, 139 Kan. 124, 30 P.2d 107, had before it a case involving an accident in Nebraska and under the conflict of laws rule in torts, it applied Nebraska law. In the district court, Judge Bennett had ruled that the two statutes must be read *in pari materia*. Justice Harvey of the Kansas supreme court approved this ruling in his concurring opinion. Finally, in 1943, in *Landrum v. Roddy*, 143 Neb. 934, the Nebraska supreme court approved Judge Bennett's interpretation of the Nebraska guest statute and stated that the comparative negligence act must be read together with it, and overruled *Sheehy v. Abboud* to the extent that it was in conflict.

This is certainly a clear and forcible example of the operation of the principle of "comity" in the conflict of laws and exhibits commendable modesty and deference on the part of the justices of the supreme court of Nebraska.

The result is that in guest cases, comparative negligence will probably be a common feature. It necessitated a standardized instruction No. 39, 24 Neb. L. R. 220, by the Association of District Judges of Nebraska which follows:

"(You are instructed that) you should first turn your attention to the question of whether or not the defendant was guilty of negligence and, if so, whether his negligence amounted to gross negligence as defined in these instructions. In measuring the negligence of the defendant, if any, you should consider his negligence by itself, and if you find that his negligence fell in any degree short of gross negligence, then (by virtue of the guest statute hereinbefore referred to), the plaintiff cannot recover in any event, and any question as to plaintiff's negligence, if any, becomes wholly immaterial.

"On the other hand, if upon consideration of defendant's negligence, if any, and independent of any negligence on the part of the plaintiff, you find that the defendant was guilty of gross negligence, then the question of whether the plaintiff was negligent becomes material, and if upon consideration of that issue you also find that the plaintiff was guilty of negligence, you should then compare the negligence of the plaintiff with that of the defendant, and if you find that the negligence of the plaintiff when compared with that of the defendant was more than slight, then again the plaintiff cannot recover.

"But if you find that the negligence of the plaintiff, when compared with the gross negligence of the defendant, was only slight negligence, the plaintiff may recover, but it is then your duty to deduct from the whole amount of damages, if any, suffered by the plaintiff such proportion thereof as the contributory negligence chargeable to the plaintiff bears to the entire negligence as shown by the evidence and return a verdict for the balance only."

It is submitted that any jury that could understand this instruction in its entirety is entitled to a gold medal. Still, if the trial judge solemnly gives this instruction and the jury just as solemnly listens to it and goes its mysterious way, the appellate court is not likely to reverse on the ground of misdirection however much it may play fast and loose with the degree of slight compared with gross negligence as a matter of law.

But the real nub of the difficulty has not been touched yet. What of the

practitioner forced to marshal his evidence and prove his case in a guest situation where the defendant alleges contributory negligence? Keeping the evidence straight and retaining one's own sanity is indeed a two-fisted job under such circumstances.

It is submitted that much of the difficulty would disappear from the operation of a comparative negligence act if one similar to the Mississippi statute is adopted. That act contains only one sentence. (Page 129.) It is easy to apply and presents no more hazards on appeal than an ordinary case in which contributory negligence is not alleged. It dispenses with the difficult distinction between slight, ordinary and gross negligence and makes no attempt to compare as a matter of law the negligence of the plaintiff with that of the defendant. The only comparison takes place where it should—in the question of damages. As a matter of common knowledge, juries make the apportionment whenever they can anyway. The simple comparative negligence statute permits them lawfully to apportion whenever the negligence of plaintiff and defendant combine to cause injury.

THE PRACTISING LAW INSTITUTE

By CONSTANCE LORD BROWN, Secretary, Morris County Bar Association

The series of monographs published by the Practising Law Institute should be of great help to the student and young practising lawyer, and as a refresher for those who have been out of practice. Stress is put upon the practical rather than the theoretical side of the law.

In the monograph entitled *Trial Practice*, Harry A Gair in "The Trial of a Negligence Action" has set forth clear and simple methods to be used in direct and cross examination of lay and medical witnesses. "Selecting a Jury" by Harry Sabbath Bodin contains many items to be considered when selecting jurors who will be likely to be fair in the consideration of the case.

For students in particular, eminent professors have outlined the Significant Developments in the Law During the War Years in their own specialized field. In writing on "Insurance" Edwin W. Patterson stresses the importance of the Supreme Court decision that insurance is "commerce" within the meaning of the "commerce clause" of the Federal Constitution, and of the adoption by statute in New York of a third standard fire insurance policy, subsequently adopted in several other states. Austin Wakeman Scott sets forth the newest decisions and latest trends in all phases of "Trusts."

In the General Practice field Carlos L. Israels and Raymond J. Gorman discuss in detail the organization of a business corporation with capital stock, taking into consideration the basic purposes of incorporation, capital structures, relationship of ownership to management, preparation of the certificate of incorporation, bylaws, etc. This information is easily adaptable to the statutory forms in each state. An interesting monograph on "Trade-Marks," a not-too-familiar subject to most lawyers, is written by Walter J. Derenberg. He discusses trademark protection from the common law through the Registration Acts to the present day registration of trade and advertising slogans, setting out the forms necessary for applications for each.

Much valuable information is contained in these monographs—information

that can usually only be obtained through years of actual experience. These monographs should be of great interest to veterans unable to take refresher courses.

NOTE.—Interested persons may address

Practicing Law Institute,
57 William Street,
New York 5, New York,

for detailed information.

STORMONT LIBRARY INTO NEW QUARTERS

Mrs. REX CULLEY, Medical Librarian

The Stormont Medical Library, which is a part of the State Library, is now occupying new quarters recently acquired and furnished through the interest and efforts of Chief Justice Harvey and other members of the supreme court, acting in the capacity of directors of the State Library, and Governor Schoepel and other members of the Executive Council. These quarters consist of three rooms on the third floor, south wing of the State House. They are easily accessible, pleasant, and include a comfortable reading room. A full-time librarian is in charge, and the library is open each day from 8:30 a. m. to 5:00 p. m.

Members of the legal profession are cordially invited to make use of the facilities which the library has to offer. Among the recently acquired publications of particular interest to lawyers is a nearly-complete collection of the Scientific Proof and Relations of Law and Medicine symposium series, edited by Hubert Winston Smith.

Books and periodicals are permitted to circulate freely among members of the medical and legal professions and there is no charge for the service except the transportation cost for material sent out of town. The lending period is for one month, with renewal privileges when necessary. All requests for loans from the library should be directed to the Stormont Medical Library, Capitol Building, Topeka, Kan., or call 2-9455. If this number does not answer, a call to 4730 will get attention. The staff will welcome your requests whether by phone, by mail or through a personal visit.

COMMENT ON STATISTICAL TABLES

By RANDAL C. HARVEY

During the year ending June 30, 1946, there was a substantial increase in the business of the districts courts, a moderate increase in the work of the probate courts, and a slight decline in the business of the city and county courts.

BUSINESS OF THE DISTRICT COURTS

There were 22,617 civil cases commenced and 19,642 terminated, compared to 16,330 commenced and 16,152 terminated during the preceding year. Among the civil cases disposed of, divorce cases increased from 8,903 to 11,510, and other civil cases increased from 7,249 to 8,132, a substantial increase in all types of cases. Trials of contested cases increased from 2,475 to 3,370, and civil

jury trials increased from 106 to 174. Seventy-eight percent of the contested cases were tried within six months from date of filing, compared with 75 percent in the preceding year.

Criminal cases increased from 1,624 to 2,034, but the number of trials declined from 262 to 187.

The number of appeals declined from 145 to 116 in civil cases, and from 17 to 5 in criminal cases.

We have added a new table, numbered A-5, showing in a general way the types of cases filed in the district courts during the statistical year. Divorce cases and suits to quiet title now form a substantial majority of all cases filed in the district courts. Foreclosures and damage suits are still at a minimum. A continuation of this table will show any trends toward other types of business in subsequent years.

The total of 19,642 cases disposed of, is greater than for any other year during which the Judicial Council has collected statistics, except the year 1935, and the total of 22,617 cases filed is greater than 1935 or any other year. However, the number of divorce cases is still disproportionate to other types of business. 8,911 divorces were granted, compared with 6,006 in the preceding year, an increase of almost 50 percent, and this is probably the highest number of divorces ever granted in a single year in Kansas.

BUSINESS OF THE PROBATE COURTS

During this year 4,723 decedent's estates were opened compared with 4,249 in the preceding year, but only 4,177 were closed, compared with 4,331. 1,340 guardianships were opened, compared with 1,176, and 756 were closed, compared with 655. Proceedings for determination of descent increased from 3,649 to 4,601. Juvenile and adoption cases also showed a moderate increase, and more trusts are under the supervision of the courts. There were fewer habeas corpus cases in probate courts, but all other types of business showed some increase.

There has been a slight decline in number of estates closed within fifteen months after filing, and in the percentage of pending estates which have been pending less than one year, but this is not great enough to indicate any substantial delay. Inventories or accounts were filed in 83 percent of the decedents' estates and in 58 percent of the guardianships and in 57 percent of the trust estates, which on the whole is an improvement over the figures for the preceding year.

There are now being filed almost as many descent proceedings as estates requiring full administration, without affecting the total number of the latter, continuing the trend noted in our last statistical report.

COUNTY COURTS

Civil business in county courts has declined about ten percent, but criminal cases in these courts have increased over fifty percent. One new county court has been created in Wabaunsee county, making a total of 47 county courts now established.

CITY COURTS

Civil business in city courts has declined over ten percent, but the number of criminal cases has increased about twenty percent. There have been no changes in the number or location of city courts.

SUMMARY OF THE WORK OF THE SUPREME COURT

The following is a summary of the work of the supreme court for the year ended June 30, 1946, and of cases pending July 1, 1946.

There were 178 appealed civil cases disposed of within the year ended June 30, 1946. Of these, 44 were dismissed without having been presented on the merits and 134 were submitted on the merits and written opinions filed. Of these cases, 70 were affirmed, 40 reversed, 11 affirmed in part and reversed in part, 7 affirmed in part and modified in part, 1 denied, and 5 were dismissed.

The court also disposed of 19 appealed criminal cases. Of this number 6 were dismissed without having been presented on the merits and 13 were submitted on the merits, and of these cases, 10 were affirmed and 3 reversed.

The court also disposed of 43 original cases. Of this number, 15 were dismissed before having been presented on the merits, and 28 cases were submitted on the merits and written opinions filed, resulting in judgment for the plaintiff in 3 cases and for the defendant in 24 cases; 1 case was dismissed.

This makes a total of 240 cases disposed of by the supreme court, of which 65 were dismissed without having been presented on the merits and 175 were submitted on the merits and written opinions filed.

The cases pending July 1, 1946, were as follows: 85 appealed civil cases, 6 appealed criminal cases, and 28 original cases, making a total of 119 cases.

Of the 175 cases submitted to the supreme court on the merits and in which written opinions were filed, in 24 cases the opinions were filed before the first regular opinion day, in 128 cases on the first regular opinion day, in 14 cases on the second regular opinion day, in 6 cases on the third regular opinion day, in 1 case on the fourth regular opinion day, 1 case on the fifth regular opinion day, and 1 case dismissed. The regular opinion day ordinarily is a month after the case is submitted; more accurately it is the Saturday of the week hearings are had the next month after the case is submitted.

In the 134 appealed civil cases in which opinions were filed within the year ended June 30, 1946, the time between the date notice of appeal was filed in this court and the date the case was submitted on its merits is as follows: Within 3 months, 19 cases; in 3 to 4 months, 15 cases; in 4 to 5 months, 23 cases; in 5 to 6 months, 33 cases; in 6 to 7 months, 13 cases; in 7 to 8 months, 4 cases; in 8 to 9 months, 8 cases; in 9 to 12 months, 14 cases; and over 12 months, 5 cases.

In the 13 appealed criminal cases in which opinions were filed within the year ended June 30, 1946, the time between the date the notice of appeal was filed in the supreme court and the date the case was submitted on its merits is as follows: Within 3 months, 1 case; in 4 to 5 months, 3 cases; in 5 to 6 months, 1 case; in 7 to 8 months, 3 cases; in 8 to 9 months, 1 case; in 9 to 12 months, 2 cases; and over 12 months, 2 cases.

In the year ended June 30, 1946, the court disposed of 372 motions, of which 353, or 95 percent, were disposed of in 30 days; average time, 5 days.

There were pending in the supreme court July 1, 1946, a total of 119 cases compared with 123 cases on the same date in 1945, 99 cases in 1944, 109 in 1943,

175 in 1942, 144 in 1941, 192 in 1940, 249 in 1939, 244 in 1938, 210 in 1937, 268 in 1936, 291 in 1935, 366 in 1934, 333 in 1933, 357 in 1932, 393 in 1931, 397 in 1930, 376 in 1929, and 341 in 1928.

In the 19 years the clerk of the supreme court has furnished us detailed information of the work of the court, it has disposed of 9,040 cases, of which 2,864 were dismissed before final submission, and 6,176 were submitted on the merits and written opinions filed.

Supreme Court—Nineteen-year Summary

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30.	Cases.	Disposed of.	Dismissed.	Submitted.
1928.....	Appealed civil.....	529	143	386
	Appealed, criminal.....	101	44	57
	Original.....	46	13	33
	Totals.....	676	200	476
1929.....	Appealed, civil.....	475	128	347
	Appealed, criminal.....	72	29	43
	Original.....	36	18	18
	Totals.....	583	175	408
1930.....	Appealed, civil.....	504	143	351
	Appealed, criminal.....	77	37	40
	Original.....	52	16	36
	Totals.....	633	196	437
1931.....	Appealed, civil.....	490	131	359
	Appealed, criminal.....	63	29	34
	Original.....	38	13	25
	Totals.....	591	173	418
1932.....	Appealed, civil.....	522	159	363
	Appealed, criminal.....	74	45	29
	Original.....	32	6	26
	Totals.....	628	210	418
1933.....	Appealed, civil.....	459	135	324
	Appealed, criminal.....	66	35	31
	Original.....	23	5	18
	Totals.....	548	175	373
1934.....	Appealed, civil.....	427	149	278
	Appealed, criminal.....	52	30	22
	Original.....	42	11	31
	Totals.....	521	190	331
1935.....	Appealed, civil.....	506	167	339
	Appealed, criminal.....	58	26	32
	Original.....	25	11	14
	Totals.....	589	204	385
1936.....	Appealed, civil.....	475	156	319
	Appealed, criminal.....	66	31	35
	Original.....	39	19	20
	Totals.....	580	206	374
1937.....	Appealed, civil.....	397	103	294
	Appealed, criminal.....	56	27	29
	Original.....	33	9	24
	Totals.....	486	139	347
1938.....	Appealed, civil.....	388	131	257
	Appealed, criminal.....	41	25	16
	Original.....	32	6	26
	Totals.....	461	162	299
1939.....	Appealed, civil.....	397	114	283
	Appealed, criminal.....	32	17	15
	Original.....	15	4	11
	Totals.....	444	135	309

SUPREME COURT SUMMARY—CONCLUDED

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30.	Cases.	Disposed of.	Dismissed.	Submitted.
1940.....	Appealed, civil.....	426	117	309
	Appealed, criminal.....	31	10	21
	Original.....	39	20	19
	Totals.....	496	147	349
1941.....	Appealed, civil.....	314	103	211
	Appealed, criminal.....	31	14	17
	Original.....	64	39	25
	Totals.....	409	156	253
1942.....	Appealed, civil.....	293	82	211
	Appealed, criminal.....	23	4	19
	Original.....	27	6	21
	Totals.....	343	92	251
1943.....	Appealed, civil.....	290	72	218
	Appealed, criminal.....	28	14	14
	Original.....	35	17	18
	Totals.....	353	103	250
1944.....	Appealed, civil.....	216	59	157
	Appealed, criminal.....	17	7	10
	Original.....	16	5	11
	Totals.....	249	71	178
1945.....	Appealed, civil.....	186	51	135
	Appealed, criminal.....	9	8	1
	Original.....	15	6	9
	Totals.....	210	65	145
1946.....	Appealed, civil.....	178	44	134
	Appealed, criminal.....	19	6	13
	Original.....	43	15	28
	Totals.....	240	65	175
	Grand totals....	9,040	2,864	6,176

DISPOSITION OF APPEALED CASES BY WRITTEN OPINIONS

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30.	Cases.	Affirmed.	Percent.	Reversed.	Percent.	Modified.	Percent.	Total.
1928.	Appealed, civil.	261	68	104	27	21	5	386
	Appealed, criminal.	52	91	5	9	0	0	57
1929.	Appealed, civil.	238	69	94	27	15	4	347
	Appealed, criminal.	39	91	4	9	0	0	43
1930.	Appealed, civil.	258	72	92	25	11	3	361
	Appealed, criminal.	31	78	9	22	0	0	40
1931.	Appealed, civil.	258	72	73	20	28	5	359
	Appealed, criminal.	28	82	6	18	0	0	34
1932.	Appealed, civil.	267	74	80	22	16	4	363
	Appealed, criminal.	24	83	5	17	0	0	29
1933.	Appealed, civil.	215	66	87	27	22	7	324
	Appealed, criminal.	26	84	5	16	0	0	32
1934.	Appealed, civil.	169	61	91	33	18	6	278
	Appealed, criminal.	19	86	3	14	0	0	22
1935.	Appealed, civil.	211	62	116	34	12	6	339
	Appealed, criminal.	26	81	6	19	0	0	32
1936.	Appealed, civil.	168	53	130	40	21	7	319
	Appealed, criminal.	25	72	10	28	0	0	35
1937.	Appealed, civil.	194	75	88	22	12	3	294
	Appealed, criminal.	24	83	3	10	2	7	29
1938.	Appealed, civil.	173	67	70	27	14	6	257
	Appealed, criminal.	14	88	2	12	0	0	16
1939.	Appealed, civil.	207	73	59	21	17	6	283
	Appealed, criminal.	14	93	1	7	0	0	15
1940.	Appealed, civil.	200	66	83	27	21	7	304
	Appealed, criminal.	16	76	4	19	1	5	21

DISPOSITION OF APPEALED CASES BY WRITTEN OPINIONS—CONCLUDED

YEAR ENDED JUNE 30.	Cases.	Affirmed	Percent.	Reversed.	Percent.	Modified.	Percent.	Total.
1941	Appealed, civil.....	140	66	62	29	9	5	211
	Appealed, criminal.....	11	65	6	35	0	0	17
1942	Appealed, civil.....	150	71	52	25	9	4	211
	Appealed, criminal.....	13	68	6	32	0	0	19
1943	Appealed, civil.....	129	59	79	36	10	5	218
	Appealed, criminal.....	10	71	4	29	0	0	14
1944	Appealed, civil.....	98	62	54	34	5	4	157
	Appealed, criminal.....	5	50	5	50	0	0	10
1945	Appealed, civil.....	94	70	35	26	6	4	135
	Appealed, criminal.....	1	100	0	0	0	0	1
1946	Appealed, civil.....	70	52	40	30	24	18	134
	Appealed, criminal.....	10	77	3	23	0	0	13
Totals	Appealed, civil.....	3,500	1,489	291	5,280
	Appealed, criminal.....	388	87	3	478
Grand totals	3,888	1,576	294	5,758

TABLE A-1.—ROSTER OF JUDICIAL OFFICIALS

As of July 1, 1946

COUNTY.	Jud. dist.	District judge.	Clerk of court.	Probate judge.
Allen.....	37	W. H. Anderson.....	Jessie M. Fry.....	A. M. Dunlap*
Anderson.....	4	Hugh Means.....	Mrs. Mabel Church.....	Deane L. Smith
Aitchison.....	2	Lawrence Day.....	Hal Waisner.....	Philip Shrack
Barber.....	24	Clark A. Wallace.....	Mrs. Edith Myers.....	John C. Hickey
Barton.....	20	Robert Garvin.....	A. J. Bortz.....	Leonard Birzer
Bourbon.....	6	Harry W. Fisher.....	Amy Armstrong.....	George N. Bainum
Brown.....	22	John L. Gernon.....	Edna Boicourt.....	Dale Bailey*
Butler.....	13	Carl Ackerman.....	Harry R. Martin.....	Ralph B. Ralston*
Chase.....	5	W. N. Calkins.....		
Chautauqua.....	13	Jay Sullivan.....	Mildred Speer.....	C. A. Blackburn
Div. 1.....	13	Carl Ackerman.....	Cleopha Call.....	R. E. Rathbun
Div. 2.....		W. N. Calkins.....		
Cherokee.....	11	V. J. Bowersock.....	Lois Mason.....	David C. Graves
Cheyenne.....	17	E. E. Kite.....	Chas. N. Roberts.....	Florence Curry
Clark.....	31	Karl Miller.....	Hope Grimes.....	O. T. Ammon*
Clay.....	21	Edgar C. Bennett.....	Hazel K. Chestnut.....	Oscar E. Peterson*
Cloud.....	12	W. D. Vance.....	Floyd R. Turner.....	E. W. Thompson*
Coffey.....	5	Jay Sullivan.....	Harry V. Phillips.....	W. A. Starlipper*
Comanche.....	31	Karl Miller.....	Jessie Chamness.....	Earl Martin*
Cowley.....	19	Albert Faulconer.....	Sallie K. Smith.....	Sallie O. Athearn
Crawford.....	38	L. M. Resler.....	Grace Webb.....	R. W. Colborn
Decatur.....	17	E. E. Kite.....	Alice J. Vernon.....	George Nellans*
Dickinson.....	8	James P. Coleman.....	Seth Barter, Jr.....	Thornton D. Scott*
Doniphan.....	22	John L. Gernon.....	Beulah M. Swiggett.....	John R. Bell*
Douglas.....	4	Hugh Means.....	Mary Ellen Simmons.....	Frank R. Gray*
Edwards.....	33	Lorin T. Peters.....	C. E. Burke.....	L. L. Anderson*
Elk.....	13	Carl Ackerman.....	Frank A. Force.....	Miriam O. Beaty
Div. 1.....		W. N. Calkins.....		
Div. 2.....				
Ellis.....	23	C. A. Spencer.....	Fred E. Bieker.....	Alexander Meier
Ellsworth.....	30	Roy A. Smith.....	J. M. Wilson.....	Gerhard Haase
Finney.....	32	Fred J. Evans.....	Mrs. Edna Carter.....	M. C. Schrader*
Ford.....	31	Karl Miller.....	Elta J. Riley.....	Richard W. Evans*
Franklin.....	4	Hugh Means.....	Christina Woke.....	Clive H. Owen
Gary.....	8	James P. Coleman.....	C. W. Marston.....	Jess W. Filby*
Gove.....	23	C. A. Spencer.....	W. S. Powers.....	Roy L. Ure*
Graham.....	34	Wm. K. Skinner.....	B. A. Summers.....	E. L. McClure
Grant.....	33	F. O. Rindom.....	Betty Teegerstrom.....	Myrtie Newby
Gray.....	31	Karl Miller.....	Tressie Johnson.....	Grace H. Truax
Greeley.....	32	Fred J. Evans.....	Laura Holmes.....	E. Bowman
Greenwood.....	13	Carl Ackerman.....	Alma Long.....	B. M. Beyer*
Div. 1.....		W. N. Calkins.....		
Div. 2.....		Fred J. Evans.....		
Hamilton.....	32	Clark A. Wallace.....	Amelia J. Minor.....	A. C. Bostwick
Harper.....	24	George L. Allison.....	Jay B. Pearl.....	R. H. Beebe
Harvey.....	9		Mabel A. McMullen.....	Herbert Sizemore*
Haskell.....	31	F. O. Rindom.....	Edith Yarbrough.....	Albert Schnellbacher
Hodgeman.....	33	Lorin T. Peters.....	F. S. Haun.....	J. E. Reed*
Jackson.....	36	Lloyd Morris.....	Chelcia Shelby.....	D. W. Gibson
Jefferson.....	36	Lloyd Morris.....	Nona Crosby.....	Claude Kimmel
Jewell.....	15	W. R. Mitchell.....	Bernice Howard.....	Salina Teeple*
Johnson.....	10	John L. Kirkpatrick.....	Gertrude S. Hedberg.....	W. C. Jones
Kearny.....	32	Fred J. Evans.....	Bertha Adams.....	Lewis Keeler
Kingman.....	24	Clark A. Wallace.....	Nell H. Walter.....	Fred Hurd
Kiowa.....	31	Karl Miller.....	F. C. Betts.....	Harry Paxton
Labette.....	16	L. E. Goodrich.....	Maye Eller.....	Oren Gray
Lane.....	32	Fred J. Evans.....	Eva Cramer.....	A. J. Myers
Leavenworth.....	1	J. H. Wendorff.....	Dorothy Harrison.....	Sam Parisa
Lincoln.....	30	Roy A. Smith.....	E. D. Harlow.....	R. W. Greene
Linn.....	6	Harry W. Fisher.....	Will H. Bayless.....	J. B. Sutherland
Logan.....	23	C. A. Spencer.....	A. W. Rogge.....	Gladys C. Hargadine

TABLE A-1.—CONCLUDED. Roster of Judicial Officials as of July 1, 1946

COUNTY.	Jud. dist.	District judge.	Clerk of court.	Probate judge.
Lyon.....	5	Jay Sullivan.....	Bess M. Cook.....	W. W. Parker
Marion.....	8	James P. Coleman.....	Virgil Wiebe.....	Jay E. Hargett*
Marshall.....	21	Edgar C. Bennett.....	Wallace J. Koppes.....	P. R. Pullaine*
McPherson.....	9	George L. Allison.....	Donald S. Clark.....	J. J. Heidebrecht
Meade.....	31	Karl Miller.....	Ethel R. Copenhaver...	Florilla De Cow
Miami.....	10	John L. Kirkpatrick.....	Ethel Hunt.....	Frank Barkis
Mitchell.....	15	W. R. Mitchell.....	D. A. Gregory.....	R. C. Wrench*
Montgomery.....	14	J. W. Holdren.....	Thelma Wright.....	John L. Wright
Morris.....	8	James P. Coleman.....	Inez Featherston.....	E. M. Jones*
Morton.....	39	F. O. Rindom.....	Irene Kuder.....	G. H. Terrill
Nemaha.....	22	John L. Gernon.....	Iva Weyer.....	L. S. Slocum
Neosho.....	7	Ora D. McClelland.....	Mamie E. Hayes.....	J. L. Naff
Ness.....	33	Lorin T. Peters.....	Gladys K. Bondurant.....	Blair Eibert*
Norton.....	17	E. E. Kite.....	Pearl Thompson.....	W. A. Hendrickson
Osage.....	35	A. K. Stavely.....	Mildred Bremer.....	T. L. Tiffany*
Osborne.....	15	W. R. Mitchell.....	Elma McColl.....	James W. Bell*
Ottawa.....	30	Roy A. Smith.....	A. H. Finley.....	W. D. Lancaster
Pawnee.....	33	Lorin T. Peters.....	Rose Wood.....	Spencer C. Ackerman
Phillips.....	17	E. E. Kite.....	Floyd Keesee.....	G. A. Radefeld*
Pottawatomie.....	36	Lloyd Morris.....	Lloyd W. Hope.....	H. H. Holuba*
Pratt.....	24	Clark A. Wallace.....	Willard Davis.....	E. R. Barnes
Rawlins.....	17	E. E. Kite.....	Louise Portschy.....	M. H. Bird*
Reno.....	40	Franklin B. Hettinger.....	G. R. Williams.....	A. B. Leigh
Republic.....	12	W. D. Vance.....	Warren A. Scott.....	Henry H. Van Natta*
Rice.....	20	Robert Garvin.....	Laura Saint.....	H. G. Doddridge*
Riley.....	21	Edgar C. Bennett.....	Joseph F. Musil.....	Scott Pfuetze
Rooks.....	34	Wm. K. Skinner.....	George F. Crane.....	R. M. James*
Rush.....	33	Lorin T. Peters.....	Gladys Driver.....	Leo Webs*
Russell.....	23	C. A. Spencer.....	George W. Brandt.....	J. D. Steine*
Saline.....	30	Roy A. Smith.....	O. H. Ford.....	Fred D. Joy
Scott.....	32	Fred J. Evans.....	Nellie Scheuerman.....	James H. Force*
Sedgwick.....	Div. 1 Div. 2 Div. 3 Div. 4	13 Robert L. Ne Smith Clair E. Robb I. N. Williams	L. D. Leland.....	Clyde M. Hudson
Seward.....	39	F. O. Rindom.....	Mrs. Mary Lindley.....	E. S. Irwin
Shawnee.....	Div. 1 Div. 2 Div. 3	3 George A. Kline..... Paul H. Heinz Dean McElhenny	Leah B. Willcutts.....	Roy N. McCue
Sheridan.....	34	Wm. K. Skinner.....	Nannie E. Adams.....	J. U. Andregg
Sherman.....	34	Wm. K. Skinner.....	Sylvia Riley.....	Nora E. Beecham
Smith.....	15	W. R. Mitchell.....	Zelma Williams.....	Miles Elson
Stafford.....	20	Robert Garvin.....	Gertrude Bartle.....	Luther L. Carlton*
Stanton.....	39	F. O. Rindom.....	Tina B. Wilson.....	Kirk Fiss
Stevens.....	39	F. O. Rindom.....	John F. Fulkerson.....	J. B. Porter*
Sumner.....	25	Wendell Ready.....	Lura Plumb.....	Ford Harbaugh
Thomas.....	34	Wm. K. Skinner.....	N. C. Knudson.....	Henry H. Goetsch*
Trego.....	23	C. A. Spencer.....	D. E. Cypher.....	Walter F. Swiggett*
Wabaunsee.....	35	A. K. Stavely.....	Eva Dorman.....	H. R. Williams
Wallace.....	23	C. A. Spencer.....	Mrs. Ida Ward.....	George Cox*
Washington.....	12	W. D. Vance.....	Alta Hennon.....	Bertha Pifer*
Wichita.....	32	Fred J. Evans.....	Daisy Dickey.....	Maggie Gilmore
Wilson.....	7	Ora D. McClelland.....	J. E. Kenney.....	Bess Schmit
Woodson.....	37	W. H. Anderson.....	Myra Dumond.....	John H. Schnell*
Wyandotte.....	Div. 1 Div. 2 Div. 3 Div. 4	29 E. L. Fischer..... Willard M. Benton Harvey J. Emerson Russel C. Hardy	John W. Foley.....	Clark E. Tucker

* Also judge of county court.

TABLE A-2.—SUMMARY OF DISTRICT COURTS, BY DISTRICTS—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1946

Judicial dist.	County.	Population.	Valuation.	Civil cases (including divorce).						Criminal cases.					
				Pending July 1, 1945.	Com-menced.	Termi-nated.	Pend-ing July 1, 1946.	Number pending less than 6 mos.	Percent pending less than 6 mos.	Pend-ing July 1, 1945.	Com-menced.	Termi-nated.	Pend-ing July 1, 1946.	Number pending less than 6 mos.	Percent pending less than 6 mos.
1	Leavenworth..	29,849	\$35,984,821	208	545	407	346	142	41	19	106	33	92	19	21
2	Atchison.....	19,548	34,859,517	38	288	217	109	77	71	4	5	8	1	1	100
3	Shawnee.....	99,139	136,650,986	274	1,597	1,489	382	338	88	33	119	122	30	19	63
4	Anderson.....	10,098	22,836,382	29	99	107	21	14	67	0	3	1	2	2	100
	Douglas.....	25,842	47,023,110	83	321	314	90	71	79	2	42	35	9	6	67
	Franklin.....	19,540	36,525,937	54	234	215	73	64	88	3	16	16	3	2	67
	Totals.....	55,530	\$106,385,429	166	654	636	184	149	81	5	61	52	14	10	71
5	Chase.....	4,728	22,256,947	13	37	39	11	9	82	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Coffey.....	10,509	21,535,416	17	102	87	32	27	84	1	6	5	2	2	100
	Lyon.....	24,251	49,976,753	76	261	233	104	76	73	1	25	20	6	6	100
	Totals.....	39,578	\$93,769,116	106	400	359	147	112	76	2	31	25	8	8	100
6	Bourbon.....	20,642	26,339,845	50	250	218	82	58	71	7	27	31	3	0	0
	Linn.....	11,112	19,634,155	18	103	82	39	34	87	1	2	2	1	1	100
	Totals.....	31,754	\$45,974,000	68	353	300	121	92	76	8	29	33	4	1	25
7	Neosho.....	21,756	29,015,785	61	229	163	127	86	68	2	9	9	2	1	50
	Wilson.....	16,302	24,597,218	35	187	153	69	52	75	3	52	51	4	3	75
	Totals.....	38,058	\$53,613,003	96	416	316	196	138	70	5	61	60	6	4	67
8	Dickinson.....	21,405	55,362,798	35	202	196	78	31	76	2	8	9	1	1	100
	Geary.....	14,116	21,822,586	84	288	274	41	54	69	2	14	15	1	0	0
	Marion.....	17,380	45,104,804	23	69	69	36	19	53	1	4	3	2	1	50
	Morris.....	9,316	23,228,863	17	82	75	24	22	92	4	3	6	1	1	100
	Totals.....	62,126	\$145,609,141	159	634	614	179	126	70	9	29	33	5	3	60

TABLE A-2.—CONTINUED. Summary of district courts, by districts—year ending June 30, 1946

Judicial dist.	County.	Population.	Valuation.	Civil cases (including divorce).						Criminal cases.					
				Pending July 1, 1945.	Com-menced.	Ter-mi-nated.	Pend-ing July 1, 1946.	Number pending less than 6 mos.	Percent pending less than 6 mos.	Pend-ing July 1, 1945.	Com-menced.	Ter-mi-nated.	Pend-ing July 1, 1946.	Number pending less than 6 mos.	Percent pending less than 6 mos.
9	Harvey.....	21,056	\$45,065,165	58	220	197	81	44	54	1	15	13	3	2	67
	McPherson.....	22,593	68,309,133	86	226	220	92	41	45	0	11	7	4	4	100
	Totals.....	43,649	\$113,374,298	144	446	417	173	85	49	1	26	20	7	6	86
10	Johnson.....	42,775	55,861,445	107	553	441	219	178	81	7	26	26	7	2	29
	Miami.....	17,173	31,537,630	33	242	200	73	57	76	6	21	18	9	7	78
	Totals.....	59,948	\$87,399,075	140	795	641	294	235	80	13	47	44	16	9	56
11	Cherokee.....	28,151	27,329,112	161	404	322	243	122	50	10	17	22	5	3	60
	Cloud.....	15,297	33,030,343	32	134	140	26	24	92	5	5	9	1	0	0
	Republic.....	11,303	32,005,311	22	80	79	23	15	76	0	2	2	0	0	0
12	Washington.....	13,002	37,455,342	24	117	108	33	30	91	2	6	8	0	0	0
	Totals.....	39,692	\$102,490,996	78	331	327	82	72	88	7	13	19	1	0	0
	Butler.....	32,158	63,900,368	110	397	366	141	106	75	10	37	26	21	14	67
13	Chautauqua.....	7,300	15,099,154	18	94	81	31	23	74	1	3	4	0	0	0
	Elk.....	6,750	15,983,136	15	73	77	11	9	83	1	5	4	2	2	100
	Greenwood.....	13,203	35,970,670	33	214	188	61	43	70	0	8	3	5	5	100
14	Totals.....	59,420	\$129,933,328	178	778	712	244	181	74	12	53	37	28	21	75
	Montgomery..	45,098	60,331,426	137	556	502	191	143	75	9	57	47	19	15	79
	Jewell.....	10,150	25,657,192	33	57	63	27	10	37	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Mitchell.....	16,181	26,098,033	14	74	54	34	24	71	0	9	8	1	0	0
	Ozborne.....	8,950	24,135,698	20	85	80	23	19	76	2	2	0	4	2	50
	Smith.....	9,089	22,201,273	14	70	63	21	13	71	1	1	2	0	0	0
16	Totals.....	37,779	\$98,082,196	81	286	260	107	68	64	3	12	10	5	2	40
	Labette.....	31,609	38,779,241	84	323	306	101	78	77	11	45	43	13	9	69

TABLE A-2.—CONTINUED. Summary of district courts, by districts—year ending June 30, 1946

Judicial dist.	COUNTRY.	Population.	Valuation.	Civil cases (including divorce).						Criminal cases.					
				Pend- ing July 1, 1945.	Com- menced.	Termi- nated.	Pend- ing July 1, 1946.	Number pending less than 6 mos.	Percent pending less than 6 mos.	Pend- ing July 1, 1945.	Com- menced.	Termi- nated.	Pend- ing July 1, 1946.	Number pending less than 6 mos.	Percent pending less than 6 mos.
17	Cheyenne.....	5,303	\$12,343,760	18	26	27	17	4	24	1	6	7	0	0	
	Decatur.....	6,343	13,272,655	16	65	55	26	17	65	0	0	0	0	0	
	Norton.....	8,546	17,571,763	19	81	83	17	10	59	0	3	2	1	0	
	Phillips.....	10,144	24,346,294	7	89	73	23	16	70	0	3	2	2	100	
	Rawlins.....	5,398	13,863,602	8	86	74	20	16	80	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals.....	35,734	\$81,398,074	68	347	312	103	63	61	1	12	10	3	2	67	
18	Seedgwick.....	191,122	265,937,400	1,143	3,548	3,018	1,673	1,072	64	156	531	332	355	196	55
	Cowley.....	35,078	65,443,086	117	441	391	167	103	62	6	16	18	4	2	50
20	Barton.....	26,658	78,962,534	81	300	271	110	68	62	3	33	33	3	1	33
	Rice.....	14,689	54,963,158	24	171	143	52	43	83	0	8	7	1	1	100
	Stafford.....	9,399	35,831,039	27	71	70	28	18	64	1	3	3	1	1	100
	Totals.....	50,726	\$169,756,731	132	542	484	190	129	68	4	44	43	5	3	60
21	Clay.....	11,209	29,706,849	19	88	84	23	22	96	1	5	6	0	0	0
	Marshall.....	19,620	43,241,487	22	106	91	37	31	84	0	5	5	0	0	0
	Riley.....	19,201	37,161,367	60	211	194	77	48	62	0	4	4	0	0	0
Totals.....	50,030	\$110,109,703	101	405	369	137	101	74	1	14	15	0	0	0	
22	Brown.....	15,342	38,353,709	28	152	139	41	29	71	0	9	8	1	0	0
	Doniphan.....	11,046	20,308,897	15	55	54	16	11	69	1	9	8	2	2	100
	Nemaha.....	14,571	33,764,392	13	63	60	16	9	56	0	8	3	5	5	100
	Totals.....	40,959	\$92,426,998	56	270	253	73	49	67	1	26	19	8	7	88

TABLE A-2.—CONTINUED. Summary of district courts, by districts—year ending June 30, 1946

Judicial dist.	County.	Population.	Valuation.	Civil cases (including divorce).						Criminal cases.					
				Pending July 1, 1945.	Com-menced.	Termi-nated.	Pend-ing July 1, 1946.	Number pending less than 6 mos.	Percent pending less than 6 mos.	Pend-ing July 1, 1945.	Com-menced.	Termi-nated.	Pend-ing July 1, 1946.	Number pending less than 6 mos.	Percent pending less than 6 mos.
23	Ellis.....	17,372	\$38,419,168	39	114	98	55	32	58	20	2	1	50		
	Gove.....	4,074	13,189,131	15	52	45	22	15	63	3	0	0	0		
	Logan.....	3,624	11,619,872	14	49	40	23	19	88	2	0	1	50		
	Russell.....	12,500	53,379,418	89	189	175	103	68	66	7	3	0	0		
	Trego.....	5,448	13,936,157	18	57	57	18	11	61	1	0	0	0		
	Wallace.....	2,031	7,769,840	8	35	33	10	8	80	0	0	0	0		
	Totals.....	45,049	\$138,313,586	183	496	448	231	153	66	33	8	2	25		
24	Barber.....	7,803	24,759,990	13	76	65	24	22	92	6	5	1	100		
	Harper.....	10,326	31,261,944	20	82	80	22	21	95	3	2	2	100		
	Kingman.....	10,284	30,467,405	29	78	79	28	16	57	4	4	1	100		
	Pratt.....	12,259	35,998,927	46	139	136	49	33	67	4	9	1	100		
	Totals.....	40,672	\$122,488,266	108	375	360	123	92	75	21	5	5	100		
25	Sumner.....	24,245	57,705,457	57	193	168	82	53	65	9	11	2	0		
	Wyandotte...	163,454	122,283,887	1,451	2,915	1,964	2,402	1,058	44	217	224	89	40		
30	Ellsworth.....	9,203	32,994,964	22	103	93	32	28	88	9	0	0	0		
	Lincoln.....	7,159	23,722,748	10	56	57	9	9	100	2	0	0	0		
	Ottawa.....	8,208	25,455,649	14	44	47	11	10	91	0	1	0	0		
	Saline.....	30,579	63,002,418	146	391	347	190	94	49	35	16	13	81		
	Totals.....	55,149	\$145,175,779	192	594	544	242	141	58	44	47	13	81		
	Clark.....	3,672	14,268,776	11	38	35	14	8	57	1	1	0	0		
31	Comanche.....	3,896	11,886,611	17	31	24	24	17	71	2	2	0	0		
	Ford.....	19,572	39,618,909	67	203	190	80	59	74	18	2	2	100		
	Gray.....	4,725	15,765,612	10	31	30	11	7	63	4	2	2	100		
	Kiowa.....	4,491	14,882,506	9	40	32	7	5	71	3	3	0	0		
	Meade.....	5,202	18,271,030	6	40	19	27	23	85	4	0	0	0		
	Totals.....	41,558	\$114,693,444	120	373	330	163	119	73	32	4	4	100		

TABLE A-2.—CONTINUED. Summary of district courts, by districts—year ending June 30, 1946

Judicial dist.	County.	Population.	Valuation.	Civil cases (including divorce).						Criminal cases.						
				Pend- ing July 1, 1945.	Com- menced.	Termi- nated.	Pend- ing July 1, 1946.	Number pending less than 6 mos.	Percent pending less than 6 mos.	Pend- ing July 1, 1945.	Com- menced.	Termi- nated.	Pend- ing July 1, 1946.	Number pending less than 6 mos.	Percent pending less than 6 mos.	
32	Finney.....	11,243	\$25,001,785	52	134	136	50	36	72	4	21	18	7	6	86	
	Greeley.....	5,571	7,911,058	15	46	42	19	13	68	1	0	1	0	0	0	
	Hamilton.....	2,014	11,146,750	10	71	57	24	21	88	0	6	5	1	1	100	
	Kearny.....	2,622	12,440,631	11	25	21	15	9	60	1	3	2	2	1	50	
	Lane.....	2,626	10,927,328	18	30	34	14	4	22	1	1	1	1	0	0	
	Scott.....	3,932	12,317,946	15	58	63	10	9	90	2	3	3	2	2	100	
	Wichita.....	2,140	8,280,652	11	28	31	8	6	75	1	5	5	1	0	0	
	Totals.....	26,538	\$88,737,208	132	392	384	140	98	70	10	39	35	14	10	71	
	33	Edwards.....	5,829	18,650,609	21	50	55	16	8	50	0	4	3	1	1	100
		Hodgeman.....	3,159	11,931,328	21	34	41	14	6	43	0	0	1	0	0	0
Ness.....		6,325	18,335,483	22	62	65	29	12	41	0	8	7	1	1	100	
Pawnee.....		8,430	26,613,092	18	88	71	35	29	83	0	13	11	2	1	50	
Rush.....		7,136	21,879,342	16	36	37	15	4	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Totals.....		30,879	\$97,439,449	108	270	269	109	59	54	1	25	22	4	3	75	
34		Graham.....	5,113	13,056,947	21	48	49	20	9	45	0	5	5	0	0	0
	Rooks.....	7,999	20,666,327	20	94	85	29	24	83	0	5	2	2	2	100	
	Sheridan.....	4,553	13,157,168	11	25	29	7	4	57	0	2	3	0	0	0	
	Sherman.....	6,402	14,960,905	26	79	87	28	15	54	4	6	5	5	2	40	
	Thomas.....	6,269	17,187,153	22	50	50	22	6	27	1	2	2	1	1	100	
	Totals.....	30,336	78,610,540	110	296	300	106	58	55	5	20	17	8	5	63	
	35	Osage.....	13,009	27,245,996	14	106	96	24	23	96	0	1	0	1	1	100
Wabaunsee.....		8,092	22,556,868	13	55	60	8	7	88	0	5	3	2	2	100	
Totals.....		21,101	\$49,802,864	27	161	156	32	30	94	0	6	3	3	3	100	

TABLE A-2.—Continued. Summary of district courts, by districts—year ending June 30, 1946

Judicial dist.	Country.	Population.	Valuation.	Civil cases (including divorce).						Criminal cases.					
				Pending July 1, 1945.	Com-menced.	Termi-nated.	Pend-ing July 1, 1946.	Number pending less than 6 mos.	Percent pending less than 6 mos.	Pending July 1, 1945.	Com-menced.	Termi-nated.	Pend-ing July 1, 1946.	Number pending less than 6 mos.	Percent pending less than 6 mos.
36	Jackson.....	11,087	\$25,122,007	20	81	71	30	20	67	0	1	1	100		
	Jefferson.....	10,897	24,121,668	28	128	120	36	30	83	1	1	1	100		
	Pottawatomie	12,401	26,702,859	20	87	78	29	21	72	1	2	1	50		
	Totals.....	34,385	\$75,946,534	68	296	269	95	71	75	2	4	3	75		
37	Allen.....	17,707	28,818,890	95	223	202	116	71	61	7	20	6	67		
	Woodson.....	7,127	13,459,444	15	70	57	28	13	46	1	2	1	100		
	Totals.....	24,834	\$42,278,334	110	293	259	144	84	58	8	22	7	71		
38	Crawford....	48,229	42,906,634	284	469	478	275	116	42	25	36	7	19		
	Grant.....	2,497	11,299,482	3	27	12	18	13	72	0	0	0	0		
	Haskell.....	2,142	8,683,444	5	10	11	4	3	75	0	0	0	0		
39	Morton.....	2,116	6,234,570	12	31	30	13	8	62	0	1	1	100		
	Seward.....	9,687	17,135,236	42	121	116	47	26	55	3	25	3	50		
	Stanton.....	1,511	6,742,079	10	35	31	14	13	93	0	9	0	0		
	Stevens.....	3,470	15,020,858	4	43	32	15	14	93	3	2	0	0		
	Totals.....	21,423	\$65,115,669	76	267	232	111	77	69	6	50	4	57		
40	Reno.....	52,024	104,620,511	308	868	829	347	190	55	16	57	13	68		
	Grand totals	1,784,453	\$3,441,775,839	7,069	22,617	19,642	10,044	6,074	60	650	2,034	990	51		

SUMMARY OF DISTRICT COURTS BY COUNTIES
 TABLE A-3. DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES, INCLUDING DIVORCE—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1946

Counties.	Total number.	Foreign transcripts.	Number dismissed.	Number not contested.	Contested trials.					Number of motions and demurrers.	Appeals.
					Number.	To court.	To jury.	Time from petition to trial.			
								Number less than 6 months.	Percent less than 6 months.		
Allen.....	202		39	131	27	23	4	17	63	142	0
Anderson.....	107	5	17	48	40	23	17	37	93	101	0
Atchison.....	217	2	31	177	9	9	0	5	56	71	0
Barber.....	65	0	17	38	9	6	3	5	56	59	0
Barton*.....	270	1	61	184	17	14	3	9	53	157	4
Bourbon.....	218	1	28	170	19	17	2	13	68	68	1
Brown.....	139	4	13	84	38	37	1	29	76	242	3
Butler.....	366	1	76	247	42	33	9	27	64	427	1
Chase.....	39	2	7	26	3	0	3	1	33	13	3
Chautauqua.....	81	3	10	61	8	6	2	6	75	89	0
Cherokee*.....	314	2	86	145	81	81	0	76	94	491	1
Cheyenne.....	27	0	5	18	4	4	0	3	75	14	0
Clark.....	35	0	2	33	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Clay.....	84	0	12	66	6	5	1	3	50	25	0
Cloud.....	140	3	20	44	73	72	1	69	95	54	0
Coffey.....	87	0	9	73	5	4	1	4	80	66	2
Comanche.....	24	2	6	14	2	2	0	0	0	10	0
Cowley.....	391	0	79	222	90	84	6	71	79	348	1
Crawford.....	478	3	132	264	79	78	1	66	84	139	1
Decatur.....	55	1	9	45	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Dickinson.....	196	0	28	154	14	14	0	11	79	97	1
Doniphan.....	54	0	8	42	4	4	0	3	75	63	0
Douglas.....	314	0	69	188	57	53	4	42	74	221	2
Edwards.....	55	0	13	38	4	4	0	7	100	7	0
Elk.....	77	3	2	11	61	61	0	59	97	63	0

* Additional cases which were removed to the Federal Court: Barton county, 1; Cherokee county, 8.

TABLE A-3.—CONTINUED. Disposition of civil cases, including divorce—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Total number.	Foreign transcripts.	Number dismissed.	Number not contested.	Contested trials.				Number of motions and demurrers.	Appeals.	
					Number.	To court.	To jury.	Time from petition to trial.			
								Number less than 6 months.			Percent less than 6 months.
Ellis.....	98		21	75	2	2	0	1	50	95	0
Ellisworth.....	93	5	15	68	5	5	0	4	70	42	0
Finney.....	136	1	33	82	20	20	0	14	70	33	0
Ford.....	190	7	29	125	29	29	0	19	66	37	2
Franklin**.....	215	0	39	140	36	32	4	31	86	113	0
Geary.....	274	0	51	205	18	18	0	10	56	119	2
Gove.....	45	0	5	35	5	4	1	2	40	8	0
Graham.....	49	3	11	29	6	6	0	5	84	21	0
Grant.....	12	1	4	6	1	0	1	0	0	1	0
Gray.....	30	0	4	26	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Greeley.....	42	2	6	34	0	0	0	0	0	14	0
Greenwood*.....	187	2	15	162	8	8	0	0	100	132	2
Hamilton.....	57	0	18	38	1	1	0	0	0	5	0
Harper.....	80	0	12	61	7	5	2	4	57	76	1
Harvey.....	197	1	27	131	38	32	6	30	79	87	3
Haskell.....	11	0	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	5	0
Hodgeman.....	41	3	6	26	6	5	1	3	50	22	0
Jackson.....	71	6	10	39	16	16	0	14	88	56	1
Jefferson.....	120	10	23	69	18	17	1	13	72	104	0
Jewell.....	63	3	9	51	0	0	0	0	0	25	0
Johnson.....	441	9	95	281	56	53	3	45	80	431	3
Kearny.....	21	1	5	10	7	7	0	5	100	22	0
Kingman.....	79	0	17	55	7	7	0	4	57	85	0
Kiowa.....	32	0	6	26	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
Labette*.....	305	0	72	92	141	140	1	126	90	347	2

* Additional cases which were removed to the Federal Court: Greenwood county, 1; Labette county, 1.

** One additional jury trial resulting in a mistrial in a case which is still pending and not terminated.

TABLE A-3.—CONTINUED. Disposition of civil cases, including divorce—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Total number.	Foreign transcripts.	Number dismissed.	Number not contested.	Contested trials.				Number of motions and demurrers.	Appeals.	
					Number.	To court.	To jury.	Time from petition to trial.			
								Number less than 6 months.			Percent less than 6 months.
Lane.....	34	6	5	19	4	4	0	1	25	13	0
Leavenworth.....	407	2	79	187	139	138	1	113	81	114	2
Lincoln.....	57	11	5	39	2	2	0	1	50	28	0
Linn.....	82	3	13	52	14	14	0	10	71	84	1
Logan.....	40	0	6	31	3	3	0	2	67	21	0
Lyon.....	233	1	55	104	73	71	2	61	84	106	4
Marion*.....	63	0	8	42	18	18	0	10	56	140	2
Marshall.....	91	0	17	30	44	44	0	42	95	63	0
McPherson.....	220	7	39	169	5	5	0	2	40	61	2
Meade.....	19	0	6	13	0	0	0	0	0	10	0
Miami.....	200	6	50	105	39	39	0	34	87	306	1
Mitchell.....	54	4	8	39	3	3	0	3	100	62	0
Montgomery.....	502	6	107	299	90	87	3	73	81	333	4
Morris.....	75	0	12	55	8	8	0	6	75	32	0
Morton.....	30	4	4	15	7	6	1	5	71	18	0
Nemaha.....	60	3	13	39	5	4	1	5	100	89	0
Neosho.....	163	2	28	90	43	43	0	38	88	160	0
Ness.....	65	0	11	47	7	6	1	5	71	7	0
Norton.....	82	0	13	66	4	4	0	2	50	3	0
Osage.....	96	3	9	21	63	63	0	62	98	50	0
Osborne.....	80	3	8	19	50	50	0	49	98	74	0
Ottawa.....	47	4	10	27	6	4	2	3	50	34	0
Phillips.....	71	0	7	58	6	5	1	2	33	13	1
Phillips.....	73	6	12	55	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
Pottawatomie.....	78	0	10	56	12	11	1	8	67	55	2
Pratt.....	136	1	29	77	29	29	0	27	93	40	2
Rawlins.....	74	3	5	66	0	0	0	0	0	11	11
Reno.....	820	34	172	523	100	93	7	82	82	236	9
Republic.....	79	0	22	36	21	19	2	15	71	102	3
Rice.....	143	4	24	102	13	12	1	9	69	125	1

* Additional cases which were removed to the Federal Court: Marion County, 1.

TABLE A-3.—CONCLUDED. Disposition of civil cases, including divorce—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Total number.	Foreign transcripts.	Number dismissed.	Number not contested.	Contested trials.				Number of motions and demurrers.	Appeals.
					To court.	To jury.	Time from petition to trial.			
							Number less than 6 months.	Percent less than 6 months.		
Riley.....	194	10	37	69	77	1	69	88	84	1
Rooks.....	85	0	23	62	0	0	0	0	13	0
Rush.....	37	4	7	26	0	0	0	0	30	0
Russell*.....	170	0	47	97	24	2	13	50	181	5
Saline*.....	346	10	70	243	23	19	17	74	187	1
Scott.....	63	1	7	54	1	0	0	0	15	0
Sedwick.....	3,018	0	913	1,663	408	34	316	71	1,644	18
Seward.....	116	0	35	77	4	4	3	75	75	0
Shawnee.....	1,489	0	413	766	306	4	283	91	1,355	8
Sheridan.....	29	0	2	8	19	0	14	74	30	0
Sherman.....	87	2	14	67	4	0	0	0	52	0
Smith.....	63	1	8	49	5	5	3	60	25	0
Stafford**.....	70	3	16	20	30	1	25	81	81	0
Stanton.....	31	1	2	27	1	0	0	0	11	0
Stevens.....	32	3	8	21	0	0	0	0	10	0
Sumner.....	168	5	34	110	16	3	12	63	112	2
Thomas.....	50	2	7	37	4	4	1	25	16	1
Trego.....	57	0	9	48	0	0	0	0	40	0
Wabauense.....	60	3	4	45	8	0	7	88	73	0
Wallace.....	33	4	4	23	2	0	0	0	9	0
Washington.....	108	2	9	88	7	2	4	44	52	2
Wichita.....	31	1	3	25	2	0	1	50	25	0
Wilson.....	153	0	28	71	54	0	52	96	246	0
Woodson.....	57	1	10	36	10	1	7	70	42	0
Wyandotte*.....	1,959	55	238	1,273	393	21	233	59	1,831	7
Totals.....	19,619	316	4,048	11,885	3,370	174	2,628	78	13,505	116

* Additional cases which were removed to the Federal Court; Russell county, 5; Saline county, 1; Wyandotte county, 5.
 ** One additional jury trial resulting in a mistrial in a case which is still pending and not terminated.

DISTRICT COURTS
TABLE A-4. DISPOSITION OF DIVORCE CASES, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Total number of cases.	Number dismissed.	Number contested.	Number denied.	Divorce granted.		Disposition of minor children. (Number of cases.)			Property settlement or permanent alimony awarded.	Support of minor children decreed.	Number cases emergency declared.
					To wife.	To husband.	To wife.	To husband.	Other disposition.			
Allen.....	112	21	13	1	56	34	20	3	4	14	14	3
Anderson.....	42	12	5	0	17	13	5	3	3	7	3	0
Atchison.....	107	17	6	0	53	37	32	8	0	35	20	4
Barber.....	28	10	2	0	12	6	5	1	0	1	3	0
Barton.....	147	30	7	0	76	41	32	9	4	18	23	20
Bourbon.....	129	22	11	1	62	44	25	6	8	28	19	25
Brown.....	53	6	14	0	24	23	16	3	1	7	13	5
Butler.....	202	47	19	0	105	50	61	4	2	26	60	20
Chase.....	12	4	0	0	5	3	4	1	0	4	3	0
Chautauqua.....	22	4	1	0	10	8	6	1	1	7	4	0
Cherokee.....	182	54	16	0	84	44	42	5	4	28	32	1
Cheyenne.....	11	1	3	0	6	4	6	2	1	6	5	0
Clark.....	12	0	0	0	6	6	5	0	0	3	3	0
Clay.....	52	11	4	2	29	10	18	1	3	10	9	0
Cloud.....	68	16	17	1	29	22	18	2	1	9	12	4
Coffey.....	29	4	1	0	12	13	6	4	0	5	6	1
Comanche.....	15	4	0	0	6	5	7	1	0	6	3	1
Cowley.....	261	57	47	3	138	63	61	10	6	6	47	24
Crawford.....	341	94	50	0	173	74	56	14	3	73	52	49
Decatur.....	18	6	0	0	6	6	4	1	1	2	4	1
Dickinson.....	84	18	5	0	44	22	24	3	1	24	20	10
Doniphan.....	32	5	2	1	21	5	12	1	0	4	9	1
Douglas.....	216	63	38	6	101	49	42	6	2	46	32	2
Edwards.....	28	7	4	0	12	9	5	1	1	8	5	2
Elk.....	21	1	9	0	10	10	3	0	2	9	4	1

TABLE A-4.—CONTINUED. Disposition of divorce cases, year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTRIES.	Total number of cases.	Number dismissed.	Number contested.	Number denied.	Divorce granted.		Disposition of minor children. (Number of cases.)			Property settlement or permanent alimony awarded.	Support of minor children decreed.	Number cases emergency declared.
					To wife.	To husband.	To wife.	To husband.	Other disposition.			
Ellis.....	33	6	0	0	17	10	15	1	0	7	8	1
Ellsworth.....	30	9	5	1	9	5	9	0	0	2	8	1
Finney.....	74	11	13	1	46	16	22	2	1	13	18	0
Ford.....	128	15	21	0	77	36	38	6	2	17	26	9
Franklin.....	108	22	22	1	53	32	23	2	2	28	19	0
Geary.....	154	34	12	0	77	43	43	9	1	40	31	12
Gove.....	13	1	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
Graham.....	14	0	2	0	3	6	1	2	0	1	0	0
Grant.....	12	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	0
Gray.....	10	3	0	0	3	4	3	0	0	0	0	0
Greeley.....	6	0	0	0	3	3	4	0	0	0	1	1
Greenwood.....	52	9	1	0	26	20	16	1	1	6	9	8
Hamilton.....	10	5	0	0	7	4	5	2	0	4	3	0
Harper.....	32	5	3	0	16	12	14	0	0	6	11	0
Harvey.....	85	13	19	0	50	22	26	3	1	32	27	17
Haskell.....	4	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Hodgeman.....	8	3	2	1	3	1	0	0	0	1	1	0
Jackson.....	31	7	11	0	18	9	14	2	1	11	12	0
Jefferson.....	31	8	11	0	12	15	6	3	0	11	4	0
Jewell.....	23	5	0	0	14	4	10	2	0	6	8	3
Johnson.....	211	50	37	1	117	43	53	8	2	72	33	7
Kearny.....	6	2	1	0	3	1	1	1	0	2	0	0
Kingman.....	28	6	2	0	12	10	8	2	0	2	8	1
Kiowa.....	12	4	0	0	5	3	1	1	0	2	1	0
Labette.....	205	54	66	2	85	64	36	11	4	32	29	5
Lane.....	10	3	2	0	5	2	2	0	1	3	3	0
Leavenworth.....	274	35	86	1	154	84	77	8	5	56	55	33
Linn.....	11	1	4	0	6	4	3	1	0	3	2	1
Linn.....	35	7	6	0	17	11	6	0	0	12	4	5
Logan.....	9	1	0	0	6	2	5	0	1	3	4	0

TABLE A-4.—CONTINUED. Disposition of divorce cases, year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Total number of cases.	Number dismissed.	Number contested.	Number denied.	Divorce granted.		Disposition of minor children. (Number of cases.)			Property settlement or permanent alimony awarded.	Support of minor children decreed.	Number cases of minor emergency declared.
					To wife.	To husband.	To wife.	To husband.	Other disposition.			
Lyon.....	140	31	22	1	72	36	41	2	2	40	32	6
Marion.....	35	3	5	0	15	7	10	1	3	8	5	1
Marshall.....	50	12	14	0	27	11	1	1	3	12	11	4
McPherson.....	69	14	0	0	40	15	13	2	0	16	12	8
Meade.....	12	2	0	0	4	6	4	0	0	4	1	1
Miami.....	86	28	12	1	39	18	18	1	1	18	13	8
Mitchell.....	27	8	1	0	15	4	3	1	1	4	3	0
Montgomery.....	366	83	55	6	189	88	95	12	5	83	87	29
Morris.....	31	8	1	0	10	13	6	1	0	2	4	4
Morton.....	17	3	5	0	5	9	5	0	0	3	5	0
Nemaha.....	24	6	2	0	13	5	11	0	0	7	7	1
Neosho.....	114	18	22	0	68	28	23	0	2	35	18	15
Ness.....	15	4	3	0	7	4	5	0	0	7	5	0
Norton.....	37	8	3	0	14	15	8	1	1	4	4	0
Osage.....	28	7	5	1	12	8	5	1	1	11	5	0
Osborne.....	23	5	1	0	9	9	3	0	0	13	2	1
Ottawa.....	14	2	2	0	3	9	6	2	0	1	5	0
Fawcett.....	30	4	1	0	22	4	5	1	0	14	8	4
Phillips.....	32	10	0	0	9	13	3	1	0	0	2	0
Pottawatomie.....	25	6	7	0	13	6	7	0	1	12	4	0
Pratt.....	92	22	3	0	48	22	23	2	0	14	14	2
Rawlins.....	12	2	0	0	5	5	3	0	0	2	1	0
Reno.....	577	126	72	0	314	137	148	11	6	118	119	107
Republic.....	31	8	5	0	12	11	8	3	0	13	7	1
Rice.....	80	16	7	0	36	28	28	2	1	31	23	4
Riley.....	105	29	11	0	50	26	29	3	3	19	23	4
Rooks.....	22	7	0	0	12	3	6	0	0	3	5	1
Rush.....	14	2	0	0	8	4	3	0	0	8	1	0
Russell.....	28	12	12	1	37	13	16	3	0	11	9	4
Saline.....	230	37	14	0	133	60	62	8	2	61	53	24

TABLE A-4.—CONCLUDED. Disposition of divorce cases, year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTRIES.	Total number of cases.	Number dismissed.	Number contested.	Number denied.	Divorce granted.		Disposition of minor children. (Number of cases.)			Property settlement or permanent alimony awarded.	Support of minor children decreed.	Number of cases emergency declared.
					To wife.	To husband.	To wife.	To husband.	Other disposition.			
Scott.....	26	5	1	0	8	8	2	2	7	4	0	
Sedgwick.....	2,220	606	241	1	385	419	45	29	410	49	329	
Seward.....	78	23	4	1	21	9	3	0	17	7	6	
Shawnee.....	1,136	350	133	6	196	254	28	7	245	205	113	
Sheridan.....	10	1	3	0	2	4	0	0	1	1	0	
Sherman.....	42	6	2	0	12	14	3	3	6	5	4	
Smith.....	29	3	4	0	9	7	1	1	19	7	2	
Stafford.....	34	12	5	0	4	8	1	2	3	7	6	
Stanton.....	7	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	
Stevens.....	13	3	0	0	4	3	0	0	1	1	0	
Sumner.....	74	20	7	0	23	12	2	6	10	15	2	
Thomas.....	28	5	2	0	9	8	1	2	7	3	2	
Trego.....	16	4	0	0	5	2	0	0	1	1	0	
Wabunsee.....	12	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	
Wallace.....	4	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Washington.....	26	3	4	0	10	7	0	1	6	7	1	
Wichita.....	10	0	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	6	0	
Wilson.....	89	22	10	0	18	30	2	1	37	26	7	
Woodson.....	30	10	2	0	10	7	0	0	3	6	2	
Wyandotte.....	1,334	107	206	0	347	399	37	16	500	308	101	
Totals.....	11,510	2,559	1,510	40	6,144	2,767	343	171	2,609	1,883	1,083	

TABLE A-5.—TYPES OF CIVIL CASES COMMENCED, DISTRICT COURTS—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Number of cases.	Recovery of money.	Damages.	Foreclosure.	Quiet title.	Divorce.	Replevin.	Ejectment.	Injunction.	Partition.	Tax cases.	Habeas corpus.	Appeals, probate.	Other appeals.	Miscellaneous.
Allen.....	218	12	2	1	41	131	4	0	3	6	3	0	1	0	14
Anderson.....	97	3	0	0	22	42	1	0	0	7	0	0	0	22	0
Atchison.....	288	9	0	2	93	160	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	12
Barber.....	75	0	5	0	17	39	0	1	0	4	0	0	1	0	30
Baron.....	292	19	11	1	57	163	1	0	0	3	1	0	2	4	0
Bourbon.....	249	6	7	1	51	148	1	0	0	8	0	1	5	9	12
Brown.....	148	5	1	1	50	60	0	0	0	11	0	1	6	7	6
Butler.....	396	23	20	0	98	224	1	2	5	7	0	0	0	1	15
Chase.....	34	0	3	0	15	11	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Chautauqua.....	92	7	1	1	38	29	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	12
Cherokee.....	402	3	58	0	82	217	4	0	1	6	7	1	2	4	17
Cheyenne.....	26	5	0	0	5	12	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1
Clark.....	38	1	1	0	25	9	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1
Clay.....	88	1	1	0	24	54	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	2
Cloud.....	131	2	4	0	51	60	0	1	3	7	0	0	0	1	2
Coffey.....	102	2	5	1	47	39	0	2	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
Comanche.....	29	2	0	0	5	18	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
Cowley.....	441	17	11	0	51	304	1	0	7	14	0	2	2	6	24
Crawford.....	466	13	28	3	33	328	1	2	6	11	7	3	1	7	22
Decatur.....	64	2	1	0	30	22	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	2
Dickinson.....	202	7	4	2	91	80	0	0	2	3	0	1	1	2	9
Doniphan.....	55	1	0	2	12	34	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	0
Douglas.....	321	4	11	1	72	207	0	0	4	7	0	1	0	0	14
Edwards.....	50	3	0	0	17	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Elk.....	70	0	0	0	45	18	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	3
Ellis.....	114	10	6	1	34	37	3	0	6	4	0	0	0	0	13
Ellsworth.....	98	1	4	0	49	29	1	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	8
Funey.....	133	5	9	0	37	76	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
Ford.....	196	6	2	0	25	145	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	10
Franklin.....	234	8	8	1	66	124	3	1	0	10	1	1	1	2	9

TABLE A-5.—CONTINUED. Types of civil cases commenced, district courts—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTRIES.	Number of cases.	Recovery of money.	Damages.	Foreclosure.	Quiet title.	Divorce.	Replevin.	Ejectment.	Injunction.	Partition.	Tax cases.	Habeas corpus.	Appeals, probate.	Other appeals.	Miscellaneous.
Geary.....	268	6	4	0	78	144	1	2	9	2	1	0	0	0	21
Gove.....	52	2	4	7	25	9	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	2
Graham.....	45	4	2	1	17	15	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Grant.....	26	5	2	0	3	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
Gray.....	31	1	0	0	11	14	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
Greenlee.....	44	2	1	0	28	9	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1
Greenwood.....	212	13	2	1	115	62	1	0	0	9	0	0	2	2	5
Hamilton.....	71	14	0	0	22	20	1	1	3	9	0	0	0	0	1
Harper.....	82	4	0	0	25	40	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	8
Harvey.....	219	3	20	0	69	90	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	11	22
Haskell.....	10	2	0	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hodgeman.....	31	3	1	0	14	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0
Jackson.....	75	2	2	4	20	32	0	0	3	4	0	0	2	2	6
Jefferson.....	118	7	0	0	53	43	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	7
Jewell.....	54	3	1	0	13	24	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	3
Johnson.....	544	13	28	3	137	239	1	2	10	7	1	0	2	71	30
Kearny.....	24	3	3	1	7	6	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1
Kingman.....	78	7	2	1	30	32	0	0	1	2	1	0	2	0	0
Kiowa.....	30	1	1	0	13	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Labette.....	323	4	7	2	62	211	2	1	2	6	1	2	4	3	16
Lane.....	24	1	0	0	12	8	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Leavenworth.....	543	14	15	2	42	340	3	7	77	8	0	13	0	4	17
Lincoln.....	45	1	2	1	24	8	0	0	1	6	1	0	0	0	1
Linn.....	100	2	2	1	37	48	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	3
Logan.....	49	2	0	1	23	13	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	1	4
Lyon.....	260	17	5	1	31	159	1	0	6	7	1	0	0	11	20
Marion.....	82	0	9	0	25	31	1	1	1	8	1	0	3	2	2
Marshall.....	106	3	1	0	35	55	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	4
McPherson.....	219	22	10	0	80	79	0	1	1	6	1	2	0	1	15
Meade.....	40	1	0	2	13	15	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6

TABLE A-5.—CONTINUED. Types of civil cases commenced, district courts—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Number of cases.	Recovery of money.	Damages.	Foreclosure	Quiet title.	Divorce.	Replevin.	Ejectment.	Injunction.	Partition.	Tax cases.	Habeas corpus.	Appeals, probate.	Other appeals.	Miscellaneous.
Miami.....	236	6	7	1	72	110	1	1	3	2	1	1	1	17	13
Mitchell.....	170	3	2	0	13	41	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	2
Montgomery.....	550	15	22	2	43	407	1	1	6	14	1	0	2	2	30
Morris.....	82	1	1	0	33	36	0	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	1
Morton.....	27	2	0	0	2	19	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Nemaha.....	60	2	5	2	19	25	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	2	1
Neosho.....	227	10	1	1	18	142	1	0	0	6	2	1	1	4	40
Ness.....	62	4	5	0	20	10	0	0	0	12	0	1	0	0	4
Norton.....	81	4	0	0	24	40	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	7
Osage.....	103	2	0	4	49	32	0	0	0	8	1	1	1	0	5
Osborne.....	82	1	1	1	46	24	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	6
Ottawa.....	40	2	1	0	7	55	0	0	4	7	1	0	0	0	2
Pawnee.....	88	6	2	1	31	33	0	1	1	3	0	0	3	0	9
Phillips.....	83	2	1	1	28	43	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5
Pottawatomie.....	87	1	1	2	47	26	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	2	3
Pratt.....	138	4	5	0	20	94	1	0	1	2	1	1	2	0	8
Rawlins.....	83	0	1	0	55	17	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	1	4
Reno.....	834	28	30	0	103	607	7	0	9	4	2	1	3	0	40
Republic.....	80	8	4	0	19	33	1	0	0	6	1	0	0	1	7
Rice.....	167	3	9	0	45	97	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	7
Riley.....	201	15	2	0	59	111	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	2	7
Rooks.....	94	8	3	0	48	28	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	3
Rush.....	32	3	0	3	6	55	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Russell.....	189	17	11	0	42	88	1	3	4	7	2	0	4	2	8
Saline.....	381	16	9	2	43	262	0	1	5	4	0	1	5	5	28
Scott.....	57	2	0	0	28	22	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	1
Sedgewick.....	3,648	184	172	7	150	2,631	8	15	23	12	2	0	5	2	337
Seward.....	121	2	3	7	89	39	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	4
Shawnee.....	1,897	52	56	7	138	1,206	4	6	8	5	0	4	5	4	52
Sheridan.....	25	0	1	0	12	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1

TABLE A-5.—CONCLUDED. Types of civil cases commenced, district courts—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTRIES.	Number of cases.	Recovery of money.	Damages.	Foreclosure.	Quiet title.	Divorce.	Replevin.	Ejection.	Injunction.	Partition.	Tax cases.	Habeas corpus.	Appeals, probate.	Other appeals.	Miscellaneous.
Sherman.....	77	1	0	2	26	42	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
Smith.....	69	2	0	0	25	52	1	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	3
Stafford.....	68	3	1	1	17	34	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	4
Stanton.....	34	2	3	0	18	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Stevens.....	40	4	1	0	11	20	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
Sumner.....	188	7	9	3	54	93	0	0	2	9	1	1	1	3	5
Thomas.....	48	3	0	0	10	27	0	0	1	4	1	0	0	0	2
Trego.....	57	4	4	1	27	15	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1
Wabauwsee.....	52	0	0	0	26	15	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	7
Wallace.....	31	1	0	1	17	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
Washington.....	115	7	0	1	62	26	0	0	1	13	1	0	0	2	2
Wichita.....	27	1	0	0	13	10	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0
Wilson.....	187	12	0	1	41	114	0	1	0	10	1	0	1	0	6
Woodson.....	69	6	4	2	11	36	2	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4
Wyandotte.....	2,860	172	97	15	129	2,062	3	1	37	22	28	13	8	47	226
Totals.....	22,301	966	806	111	4,134	13,472	72	63	274	496	97	55	91	284	1,380

TABLE B-1.—CONTINUED. Disposition of criminal cases, in district courts, year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTRIES.	Number of cases.	Cases not tried.				Trials.					Number of motions.	Appeals.	Paroles granted.	Paroles revoked.		
		Dismissed.	Plea of guilty as charged.		Plea of guilty to lesser offense.	Total number.	Acquitted.	Convicted of crime charged.	Convicted of lesser offense.	Mis-trials.					Time from filing to trial.	
			as charged.	to lesser offense.											Number less than 6 months.	Percent less than 6 months.
Ellis.....	20	13	4	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	100	0	4	0	
Ellsworth.....	9	6	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Finney.....	18	11	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	9	2	0	
Ford.....	18	12	14	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	50	1	3	0	
Franklin.....	16	4	8	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	0	
Geary.....	15	2	7	2	4	0	4	0	0	0	3	75	6	5	0	
Gove.....	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	
Graham.....	5	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	
Grant.....	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Gray.....	4	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Greeley.....	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	
Greenwood.....	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	
Hamilton.....	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	
Harper.....	3	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	100	7	2	0	
Harvey.....	13	0	12	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	100	0	4	0	
Haskell.....	8	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	
Hodgeman.....	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Jackson.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jefferson.....	5	0	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	100	0	0	0	
Jewel.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Johnson.....	26	9	9	6	2	0	1	1	0	0	2	100	8	2	0	
Kearny.....	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kingman.....	4	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kiowa.....	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Labette.....	43	12	23	0	8	3	3	2	0	0	6	75	19	6	0	
Lane.....	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Leavenworth.....	33	15	11	5	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	3	
Lincoln.....	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Linn.....	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	100	0	1	0	
Logan.....	3	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	50	0	0	0	

TABLE B-1.—CONTINUED. Disposition of criminal cases, in district courts, year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Number of cases.	Cases not tried.				Trials.						Number of Appeals.	Paroles granted.	Paroles revoked.	
		Dis-missed.	Plea of guilty charged.	Plea of guilty to lesser offense.	Total number.	Ac-quitted.	Con- victed of crime charged.	Con- victed of lesser offense.	Mis- trials.	Time from filing to trial.					
										Number less than 6 months.	Percent less than 6 months.				
Lyon.....	20	8	11	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	100	5	5	1
Marion.....	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Marshall.....	5	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
McPherson.....	7	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0
Meade.....	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Miami.....	18	6	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	0
Mitchell.....	8	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Montgomery.....	47	8	29	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	100	4	16	1
Morris.....	6	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Morton.....	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
Nemaha.....	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Neosho.....	9	2	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	100	9	2	1
Ness.....	7	3	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	100	1	3	0
Norton.....	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Osage.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Osborne.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ottawa.....	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Fawcett.....	11	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Phillips.....	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pottawatomie.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pratt.....	9	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1
Rawlins.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reno.....	54	19	30	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	4	100	5	24	2
Republic.....	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rice.....	7	0	5	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	100	2	4	0
Riley.....	4	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Rooks.....	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Rush.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Russell.....	7	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saline.....	35	15	9	6	5	1	0	0	0	0	4	80	18	9	1

TABLE B-1.—CONCLUDED. Disposition of criminal cases, in district courts, year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Number of cases.	Cases not tried.				Trials.							Number of motions.	Appeals.	Paroles granted.	Paroles revoked.
		Dis-missed.	Plea of guilty as charged.	Plea of guilty to lesser offense.	Total number.	Ac-quitted.	Con-victed of crime charged.	Con-victed of lesser offense.	Mis-trials.	Time from filing to trial.						
										Number less than 6 months.	Percent less than 6 months.					
Scott.....	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sedgwick.....	332	144	115	3	70	6	64	0	0	0	0	0	12	1	134	4
Seward*.....	21	6	10	0	5	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	1
Shawnee**.....	121	37	74	5	5	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	43	0	42	5
Sheridan.....	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Sherman.....	5	1	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Smith.....	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stafford.....	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanton.....	9	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
Stevens.....	5	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Sumner.....	11	4	4	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0
Thomas.....	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Trego.....	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Wabunsee.....	3	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Wallace.....	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington.....	8	2	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Wichita.....	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wilson.....	51	5	45	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	44	0	39	3
Woodson.....	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wyandotte***.....	268	180	68	1	19	4	15	0	0	0	0	14	84	1	43	0
Totals.....	1,691	663	754	91	187	42	130	9	6	158	84	384	5	528	34	0

* One additional case turned over to the F. B. I.
 ** One additional case in which the defendant was found to be insane before trial.
 *** One additional trial in which the defendant was found to be insane.

TABLE B-2. DISPOSITION OF CRIMINAL CASES, COUNTY COURTS, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1946

COUNTRIES.	Number of cases.	Cases not tried.		Bound over to district court.	Trials.			Pending	Paroles granted.	Paroles revoked.	
		Number dismissed.	Plea of guilty.		Total number.	Acquitted.	Convicted.				Mis-trial.
Allen.....	48	10	24	7	2	0	2	5	4	0	
Brown.....	27	3	11	9	2	0	2	2	1	0	
Buder.....	133	33	19	33	8	0	6	40	7	0	
Clark.....	14	0	12	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	
Clay.....	26	3	14	4	0	0	0	5	1	0	
Cloud.....	73	6	53	5	0	0	0	9	4	0	
Coffey.....	36	34	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Conancho.....	17	1	11	2	0	0	0	3	4	0	
Decatur.....	16	5	9	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	
Dickinson.....	73	18	33	9	2	2	0	11	6	0	
Doniphan.....	88	16	40	11	12	6	6	9	3	0	
Douglas.....	241	18	170	28	9	2	7	16	31	4	
Edwards.....	41	9	25	2	0	0	0	5	5	0	
Finney.....	172	66	44	17	4	1	3	41	0	0	
Ford.....	91	32	18	17	1	0	1	23	4	0	
Geary.....	82	10	25	10	7	0	7	30	22	0	
Gove.....	15	3*	10	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	
Greenwood.....	54	1	50	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	
Harvey.....	75	11	46	8	3	0	2	7	3	0	
Hodgeman.....	9	3	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Jewell.....	32	12	15	3	0	0	0	5	1	0	
Kearny.....	28	4	21	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	
Marion.....	70	2	58	3	0	0	0	7	3	0	
Marshall.....	66	4	54	4	3	0	3	3	3	2	
Mitchell.....	60	3	41	9	0	0	0	7	5	0	
Morris.....	31	5	20	2	0	0	0	4	8	1	
Ness.....	16	5	5	5	1	0	0	0	2	0	
Osage.....	78	9	60	1	4	2	2	4	7	0	
Osborne.....	33	8†	22	1	1	1	1	1	4	0	
Pawnee.....	51	3	31	16	1	0	1	0	10	0	

TABLE B-2.—CONCLUDED. Disposition of criminal cases, county courts, year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Number of cases.	Cases not tried.		Bound over to district court.	Trials.			Pending.	Paroles granted.	Paroles revoked.
		Number dismissed.	Plea of guilty.		Total number.	Acquitted.	Con-victed.			
Phillips.....	80	22†	49	0	1	0	0	8	5	0
Pottawatomie.....	11	1	7	0	1	0	0	2	2	0
Reynolds.....	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Republic.....	51	1	44	2	0	0	0	4	14	0
Rice.....	56	21	21	4	2	1	0	8	3	0
Rooks.....	57	5	25	7	10	1	0	9	5	0
Rush.....	47	21	19	2	1	0	0	4	4	0
Russell.....	6	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Scott.....	5	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
Stafford.....	8	3	2	1	0	0	0	2	2	0
Stevens.....	36	8	24	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thomas.....	22	4	14	2	1	0	0	0	5	0
Trego.....	11	5	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wabunsee.....	10	1	7	1	0	0	0	1	2	0
Wallace.....	21	3	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington.....	25	4	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Woodson.....	64	6	50	2	1	1	0	4	5	0
Totals.....	2,320	445	1,267	243	79	33	46	286	207	9

* Two cases dismissed and rearrested.

† One case released to sheriff, Henry Co., Mo.

‡ One case released to Nebraska authorities.

TABLE B-2.—CONCURRED. Disposition of criminal cases, city courts, year ending June 30, 1946

CITIES.	Number of cases.	Cases not tried.		Bound over to district court.	Trials.			Pending.	Paroles granted.	Paroles revoked.
		Number dismissed.	Plea of guilty.		Total number.	Ac-quitted.	Con-victed.			
Arkansas City.....	76	17	35	11	0	0	0	13	39	0
Atchison.....	53	10	22	6	2	1	1	13	4	0
Chanute.....	32	0	31	0	0	0	0	1	3	0
Coffeyville.....	181	29	98	24	7	3	4	23	61	0
Hutchinson.....	318	63	137	55	8	3	5	55	6	0
Kansas City.....	685	223*†	25	122	37	21	16	278	28	1
Leavenworth.....	168	46	45	28	20	8	12	29	5	0
Pittsburg.....	178	15	110	14	3	0	3	36	0	0
Salina.....	215	84	70	15	12	5	7	34	8	1
Topeka.....	345	54	143	62	42	0	42	44	32	1
Wichita.....	1,137	328	344	188	74	30	44	203	367	0
Totals.....	3,388	869	1,060	525	205	71	134	729	553	3

* Two cases transferred to Probate Court.

† One case turned over to Federal Government.

PROBATE COURTS
TABLE C-1. SUMMARY OF BUSINESS HANDLED—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Estates of decedents.			Guardianships.			Trusts under supervision.	Juvenile cases.	Habeas corpus hearings.	Orders in absence of district judge.	Adoption proceedings.	Insanity hearings.	Determination of descent.	Miscellaneous.
	Foreign transcripts.	Opened during year.	Closed during year.	Foreign transcripts.	Opened during year.	Closed during year.								
Allen.....	20	49	37	0	12	8	2	9	0	0	8	6	77	0
Anderson.....	16	38	27	2	13	8	1	5	1	1	4	6	40	5
Atchison.....	22	71	70	3	16	13	8	4	0	0	8	7	50	6
Barber.....	4	31	30	1	10	2	2	0	0	5	7	8	15	0
Barton.....	0	84	62	5	22	11	14	4	0	15	11	1	21	6
Bourbon.....	2	46	59	0	14	9	5	0	0	0	7	6	73	1
Brown.....	9	48	58	1	12	6	13	6	0	2	4	4	39	0
Butler.....	33	63	72	2	13	9	13	20	0	0	14	16	62	0
Chase.....	21	10	10	0	8	2	2	0	0	0	6	6	10	0
Chautauqua.....	27	26	26	2	9	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	22	0
Cherokee.....	14	67	47	3	28	15	7	59	0	0	15	10	57	0
Cheyenne.....	12	13	4	1	6	1	1	4	0	0	3	1	18	0
Clark.....	10	10	8	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	4	2	17	0
Clay.....	9	61	44	0	12	8	15	4	0	2	4	6	23	3
Cloud.....	15	60	46	3	9	12	7	8	0	3	4	3	43	0
Coffey.....	23	22	15	1	5	7	3	0	0	0	3	2	37	0
Comanche.....	2	15	17	5	6	5	2	1	0	0	2	1	26	0
Cowley.....	27	100	82	8	13	17	18	45	0	0	17	31	98	10
Crawford.....	0	77	78	1	23	10	12	0	0	0	10	11	157	0
Decatur.....	6	9	31	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	19	3
Dickinson.....	14	76	65	4	19	23	22	3	0	9	13	10	56	5
Doniphan.....	14	45	50	3	7	5	12	9	0	3	5	8	16	0
Douglas.....	13	63	47	0	20	10	21	0	0	0	17	8	74	0
Edwards.....	7	19	14	0	6	3	3	0	0	7	0	3	29	1
Elk.....	18	31	18	4	3	2	0	1	0	1	0	5	29	2

TABLE C-1.—CONTINUED. Summary of business handled—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Estates of decedents.		Guardianships.		Trusts under supervision.	Juvenile cases.	Habeas corpus hearings.	Orders in absence of district judge.	Adoption proceedings.	Insanity hearings.	De-termination of descent.	Miscellaneous.		
	Foreign transcripts.	Opened during year.	Closed during year.	Foreign transcripts.									Opened during year.	Closed during year.
Ellis.....	10	34	42	5	8	2	0	0	5	11	17	0		
Ellsworth.....	0	38	46	0	14	3	0	0	4	0	29	0		
Finney.....	26	29	23	10	2	3	0	0	8	5	23	0		
Ford.....	8	33	40	4	16	4	0	0	12	5	50	6		
Franklin.....	11	66	63	0	17	10	6	9	7	8	70	0		
Geary.....	11	40	43	2	20	4	6	0	13	9	19	3		
Gove.....	19	13	16	3	0	2	0	0	0	3	29	1		
Graham.....	12	22	19	0	3	3	9	1	0	2	19	0		
Grant.....	34	4	4	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	11	3		
Gray.....	22	9	11	2	7	3	1	0	1	0	19	0		
Greeley.....	139	12	0	5	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0		
Greenwood.....	15	39	34	6	8	7	0	1	5	6	27	0		
Hamilton.....	27	9	7	0	5	6	9	7	6	2	27	2		
Harper.....	22	33	28	8	7	0	0	0	6	3	27	0		
Harvey.....	11	59	53	4	18	5	14	10	14	18	55	0		
Haskell.....	17	1	8	5	2	2	0	0	1	0	8	0		
Hodgeman.....	7	1	6	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	20	3		
Jackson.....	18	44	28	1	8	5	6	0	4	26	42	0		
Jefferson.....	1	33	28	1	9	3	0	3	3	8	48	0		
Jewell.....	15	17	17	0	2	5	1	0	3	1	47	0		
Johnson.....	26	93	68	5	26	11	8	1	18	19	103	0		
Kearny.....	13	8	9	3	4	1	0	0	2	2	15	0		
Kingman.....	4	29	19	1	7	4	0	0	3	0	30	0		
Kiowa.....	0	19	19	0	7	2	0	0	2	0	11	0		
Labette.....	30	68	51	2	35	26	12	0	27	24	81	12		
Lane.....	19	8	9	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	0		
Leavenworth.....	21	86	56	1	27	12	8	3	15	28	96	3		
Lincoln.....	17	30	36	6	12	6	1	0	4	1	17	0		
Linn.....	9	29	30	1	2	1	0	0	4	2	32	0		
Logan.....	39	6	5	6	1	1	4	0	1	1	20	0		

TABLE C-1.—CONTINUED. Summary of business handled—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Estates of decedents.				Guardianships.			Trusts under supervision.	Juvenile cases.	Habeas corpus hearings.	Orders in absence of district judge.	Adoption proceedings.	Insanity hearings.	Determination of descent.	Miscellaneous.
	Foreign transcripts.		Closed during year.		Foreign transcripts.	Opened during year.	Closed during year.								
	Opened during year.	Closed during year.	Opened during year.	Closed during year.											
Lyon.....	27	57	41	0	24	0	4	7	0	0	0	8	10	49	0
Marion.....	16	53	37	10	12	5	11	1	0	0	0	8	5	72	0
Marsell.....	13	60	65	0	17	9	10	2	0	0	0	13	9	80	4
McPherson.....	7	64	54	0	19	13	8	0	0	0	0	7	3	57	0
Meade.....	6	22	16	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	0
Miami.....	13	34	44	3	14	5	5	0	0	19	6	6	14	40	0
Mitchell.....	53	36	47	3	23	8	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	26	0
Montgomery.....	18	88	105	0	28	26	18	7	2	7	19	31	31	116	7
Morris.....	1	25	26	2	8	9	1	6	0	1	1	2	2	54	3
Morton.....	19	9	9	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Nemaha.....	16	41	50	1	8	16	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	54	0
Neosho.....	2	46	66	3	19	9	3	9	0	0	0	11	19	67	0
Ness.....	1	16	11	1	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	3	2	8	0
Norton.....	21	23	25	2	5	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	39	0
Osage.....	16	39	43	5	10	11	6	2	0	0	0	6	2	55	0
Osborne.....	16	53	46	0	9	3	4	1	0	1	3	3	6	21	1
Ottawa.....	1	33	21	0	5	7	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	27	0
Phillips.....	13	31	38	0	2	2	0	6	0	0	0	5	42	7	0
Phillips.....	5	42	30	1	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	9	24	0
Pottawatomie.....	6	39	41	1	10	9	8	0	0	0	0	5	5	28	0
Pratt.....	6	34	46	2	12	3	5	0	0	0	0	6	1	24	5
Rawlins.....	12	24	24	1	8	1	4	0	0	0	0	6	3	3	0
Reno.....	6	113	136	12	52	14	24	33	0	0	0	33	0	112	2
Republic.....	8	44	39	0	13	13	10	5	0	0	0	4	1	43	3
Rice.....	6	61	63	1	11	7	7	4	2	2	21	9	4	48	5
Riley.....	6	53	45	1	26	11	11	9	0	0	7	7	19	35	5
Rooks.....	17	39	24	0	9	5	8	0	0	0	1	5	4	11	0
Rush.....	60	25	33	8	11	3	5	0	0	0	3	1	0	17	1
Russell.....	10	34	34	5	16	7	3	6	0	0	22	8	6	13	0
Saine.....	4	90	93	3	21	4	12	0	0	0	0	29	11	54	0

JUDICIAL COUNCIL BULLETIN

171

TABLE C-1.—CONCEPDED. Summary of business handled—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Estates of decedents.			Guardianships.			Trusts under supervision.	Juvenile cases.	Habeas corpus hearings.	Orders in absence of district judge.	Adoption proceedings.	Insanity hearings.	Determination of descent.	Miscellaneous.
	Foreign transcripts.	Opened during year.	Closed during year.	Foreign transcripts.	Opened during year.	Closed during year.								
Scott.....	13	9	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	12	0
Sedgwick.....	9	446	277	1	95	34	36	693	0	0	190	86	173	0
Seward.....	18	14	20	2	6	5	3	8	0	0	9	6	11	4
Shawnee.....	34	213	187	3	82	84	34	121	0	0	63	211	269	0
Sheridan.....	11	8	14	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	12	1
Sherman.....	36	7	9	2	2	0	0	2	0	8	6	3	15	0
Smith.....	6	31	25	0	7	0	2	0	0	0	3	0	41	0
Stafford.....	27	37	31	4	11	6	4	4	0	0	5	15	17	6
Stanton.....	22	5	5	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Stevens.....	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	18	0
Sumner.....	61	68	60	24	30	14	16	5	0	1	9	11	66	8
Thomas.....	30	17	26	3	1	2	1	0	0	0	8	1	21	0
Trego.....	0	8	12	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	10	0
Wabunsee.....	20	24	35	0	6	6	2	3	0	0	2	8	28	1
Wallace.....	15	6	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0
Washington.....	36	54	40	3	11	4	3	0	0	0	2	2	43	0
Wichita.....	8	5	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0
Wilson.....	22	47	49	4	20	6	11	7	0	0	12	15	54	8
Woodson.....	1	25	16	0	9	4	2	0	0	0	3	3	61	0
Wyandotte.....	6	263	214	8	75	47	17	285	0	0	132	139	393	0
Totals.....	1,723	4,723	4,177	245	1,340	756	621	1,502	12	175	991	1,062	4,601	151

PROBATE COURTS
TABLE C-2. ESTATES OF DECEDENTS—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Number pending July 1, 1945.	Number filed since July 1, 1945.	Total number.	Wills.		Bonds.			Inventory or account during year.		Estates closed.			Estates pending July 1, 1946.		
				With.	Without.	Personal.	Surety.	None.	Number.	Percent of total.	Total number closed.	Closed within 15 months.	Total number pending.	Pending less than 1 year.	Number.	Percent.
Allen.....	78	49	127	65	62	45	25	57	88	69	37	19	51	90	49	54
Anderson.....	48	38	81	31	50	51	8	22	69	85	27	16	59	54	36	67
Atchison.....	192	71	179	124	55	26	56	95	155	86	70	29	41	109	71	65
Barber.....	37	31	68	25	43	36	7	25	65	96	30	23	77	38	31	82
Baron.....	91	84	175	86	89	81	28	66	162	93	62	41	66	113	83	73
Bourbon.....	95	46	141	93	48	42	35	64	112	79	59	35	59	82	44	54
Bowling.....	74	43	122	60	62	37	52	53	118	97	58	40	69	64	46	72
Butler.....	121	63	184	108	76	76	32	76	146	79	72	40	56	112	50	45
Chase.....	13	10	23	10	13	6	10	7	21	91	10	7	70	13	10	77
Chautauqua.....	40	26	66	25	41	31	13	22	51	77	26	18	69	40	25	63
Cherokee.....	94	67	161	74	87	63	44	54	139	86	47	24	51	114	67	59
Cherokee.....	19	13	32	14	18	16	9	7	20	63	4	3	75	28	13	46
Clark.....	12	10	22	7	15	8	6	8	20	91	8	5	63	14	10	71
Clay.....	59	61	120	76	44	59	12	49	111	93	44	33	75	76	60	79
Cloud.....	61	60	121	78	43	53	33	55	113	93	46	34	74	75	59	79
Coffey.....	27	22	49	25	24	14	14	21	43	88	15	11	73	34	22	65
Comanche.....	22	15	37	21	16	17	8	22	35	95	17	11	65	20	14	70
Covey.....	115	100	213	118	95	96	44	74	199	91	82	57	70	131	94	72
Crawford.....	144	77	221	98	123	99	92	70	168	71	78	37	47	143	73	51
Decatur.....	9	9	40	14	26	25	7	8	40	100	31	22	71	9	9	100
Dickinson.....	70	76	146	83	63	68	29	49	146	100	65	62	95	81	76	84
Doniphan.....	70	45	115	57	58	57	10	48	102	89	50	23	46	65	45	69
Douglas.....	109	63	172	100	62	15	69	88	131	76	47	25	53	125	62	50
Edwards.....	22	9	31	14	17	9	15	15	35	85	14	10	71	27	19	70
Ellis.....	30	31	61	35	26	29	2	30	54	89	18	11	61	43	31	72

TABLE C-2.—CONTINUED. Estates of decedents—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Number pending July 1, 1945.	Number filed since July 1, 1945.	Total number.	Wills.		Bonds.			Inventory or account during year.		Estates closed.			Estates pending July 1, 1946.		
				With.	Without.	Personal.	Surety.	None.	Number.	Percent of total.	Total number closed.	Closed within 15 months.		Total number pending.	Pending less than 1 year.	
												Number.	Percent.		Number.	Percent.
Ellis.....	60	34	94	53	41	39	11	44	81	86	42	18	43	52	34	65
Elsworth.....	53	38	91	55	37	55	12	27	54	52	46	39	35	45	29	82
Finney.....	28	29	67	30	37	31	12	24	52	77	23	11	43	44	29	66
Ford.....	77	33	110	54	56	41	27	42	132	89	63	42	67	70	32	46
Franklin.....	52	66	118	70	48	41	26	41	132	89	63	42	67	85	66	78
Geary.....	67	40	107	63	44	35	33	39	88	82	43	23	53	64	40	63
Gove.....	33	13	46	17	29	24	5	17	33	72	16	9	56	30	13	43
Graham.....	23	22	45	22	23	27	2	16	40	89	19	10	53	26	21	81
Grant.....	6	4	10	4	6	7	0	3	9	90	4	1	23	6	4	67
Gray.....	17	9	26	13	13	9	9	8	21	81	11	8	73	13	9	60
Greeley.....	41	12	53	12	41	12	8	33	14	26	0	0	0	53	12	23
Greenwood.....	44	39	83	33	50	45	13	25	79	95	34	26	76	49	39	80
Hamilton.....	7	9	16	6	10	3	9	4	16	100	7	4	57	9	9	100
Harper.....	44	33	77	54	23	31	7	39	66	86	28	14	50	49	33	67
Harvey.....	38	39	147	75	72	57	42	48	122	83	53	32	60	94	57	61
Haskell.....	12	1	13	4	9	7	4	2	9	69	8	0	0	5	1	20
Hodgeman.....	10	7	17	8	8	7	5	5	14	82	6	4	67	11	7	24
Jackson.....	41	44	85	47	38	29	21	35	77	92	28	21	75	57	44	77
Jefferson.....	59	33	92	46	46	45	16	31	76	83	28	11	39	64	33	52
Jewell.....	31	17	48	26	22	23	14	11	36	75	17	8	47	31	17	55
Johnson.....	121	93	214	101	113	71	44	99	172	80	68	42	62	146	91	62
Kearny.....	9	8	17	5	12	3	13	7	16	94	9	8	89	6	6	75
Kingman.....	29	29	58	31	27	33	13	12	58	100	29	24	83	29	29	100
Kiowa.....	30	19	49	29	20	22	5	22	42	86	19	8	42	30	19	63
Labette.....	89	68	157	86	71	44	48	65	139	89	51	34	67	106	68	82
Lane.....	9	8	17	8	9	7	5	5	17	100	9	7	78	8	8	100
Leavenworth.....	105	86	191	112	79	42	56	93	152	80	56	42	75	135	86	64
Lincoln.....	46	30	76	28	48	43	13	20	75	99	36	26	61	40	29	73
Linn.....	29	29	58	25	33	27	1	30	49	85	30	25	83	28	19	68
Logan.....	5	6	11	5	6	0	7	4	11	100	5	4	30	6	6	100

TABLE C-2.—CONTINUED. Estates of decedents—year ending June 30, 1946

Countries.	Number pending July 1, 1945.	Number filed since July 1, 1945.	Total number.	Wills.		Bonds.			Inventory or account during year.		Estates closed.			Estates pending July 1, 1946.	
				With.	Without.	Personal.	Surety.	None.	Number.	Percent of total.	Total number closed.	Closed within 15 months.		Total number pending.	Pending less than 1 year.
												Number.	Percent.		
Lyon.....	120	41	89	72	68	39	54	57	83	42	74	104	38	37	
Mexico.....	94	37	75	56	73	26	32	53	79	36	64	78	37	47	
Marshall.....	100	65	95	70	73	30	62	60	84	23	47	105	63	60	
McPherson.....	89	54	67	67	82	7	54	64	83	35	55	79	53	67	
Meade.....	28	16	22	22	15	12	17	22	42	9	41	22	15	68	
Miami.....	63	44	49	58	37	*44	26	34	83	21	62	73	44	60	
Mitchell.....	83	47	40	30	37	3	40	36	55	14	39	94	47	50	
Mongoumery.....	132	105	134	103	122	113	112	88	212	88	51	149	103	69	
Morris.....	37	26	33	25	35	13	15	25	55	19	76	38	26	68	
Morton.....	5	9	2	12	1	3	10	9	14	8	89	5	3	60	
Nemaha.....	63	50	66	47	40	13	60	41	95	25	80	72	50	69	
Nesho.....	73	66	65	74	46	46	47	46	116	28	61	93	63	98	
Ness.....	25	1	14	22	13	6	12	16	27	75	16	20	11	65	
Norton.....	21	25	16	30	11	23	12	46	100	23	18	23	23	100	
Osage.....	56	43	63	31	16	41	42	39	92	39	59	60	43	72	
Osborne.....	65	46	32	79	77	13	16	53	103	42	79	58	44	76	
Ottawa.....	31	33	26	38	33	15	16	21	58	31	20	33	33	77	
Panice.....	40	31	25	43	34	4	33	38	66	29	73	43	25	76	
Phillips.....	38	39	31	49	94	2	14	30	72	90	27	50	41	82	
Pottawatomie.....	68	39	49	58	57	15	35	41	86	80	66	66	39	59	
Pratt.....	74	46	60	60	66	5	49	34	89	19	56	86	45	52	
Rawlins.....	24	24	19	29	26	9	13	24	48	14	53	24	24	100	
Reno.....	181	156	147	190	143	68	136	113	277	82	71	224	165	69	
Republic.....	67	44	60	51	50	53	28	39	88	23	59	72	44	61	
Rice.....	75	61	69	67	53	29	54	63	123	36	57	73	57	78	

TABLE C-2.—CONCLUDED. Estates of decedents—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Number pending July 1, 1945.	Number filed since July 1, 1945.	Total number.	Wills.		Bonds.			Inventory or account during year.		Estates closed.				Estates pending July 1, 1946.	
				With.	Without.	Personal.	Surety.	None.	Number.	Percent of total.	Total number closed.	Closed within 15 months.		Total number pending.	Pending less than 1 year.	
												Number.	Percent.		Number.	Percent.
Riley.....	78	45	123	61	62	60	24	39	105	85	53	26	40	70	45	64
Rooks.....	58	24	82	37	45	39	14	29	66	55	39	22	52	43	24	56
Rush.....	30	25	55	20	35	39	4	12	50	74	32	12	52	32	24	75
Russell.....	67	34	101	47	54	62	10	29	75	74	33	17	55	69	34	40
Saline.....	87	93	180	100	80	88	30	62	180	100	90	60	67	90	90	100
Scott.....	0	9	9	4	5	5	2	2	9	100	0	0	0	9	9	100
Seadwick.....	584	446	1,030	452	578	492	99	439	769	75	277	156	56	753	434	58
Seward.....	27	14	41	16	25	18	10	13	35	58	20	11	55	31	14	67
Shawnee.....	362	213	575	316	259	93	233	249	461	78	187	100	53	358	208	53
Sheridan.....	15	8	23	10	13	15	3	5	23	100	14	5	36	9	8	89
Sherman.....	16	7	23	3	20	5	14	4	17	74	9	6	67	14	7	50
Smith.....	34	31	65	23	37	36	15	14	57	88	25	8	32	40	31	78
Stafford.....	44	37	81	33	43	44	13	24	72	89	31	14	45	50	37	74
Stanton.....	4	5	9	2	7	0	0	8	8	89	5	5	100	1	2	50
Stevens.....	0	2	2	1	1	0	0	2	2	100	0	0	0	2	2	100
Sumner.....	109	68	177	91	86	61	55	61	149	84	60	32	52	117	68	58
Thomas.....	39	17	56	17	39	33	11	12	46	82	26	11	42	30	17	57
Trego.....	18	8	26	13	13	21	3	2	23	88	12	8	67	4	8	63
Wabausee.....	49	24	73	33	40	50	3	20	63	86	35	20	57	58	24	63
Wallace.....	7	6	13	3	9	1	8	4	9	69	3	3	100	10	6	60
Washington.....	58	54	112	57	55	67	15	30	95	85	40	28	67	72	54	75
Wichita.....	4	5	9	2	7	7	2	0	9	100	8	2	70	6	8	83
Wilson.....	64	47	111	53	58	49	29	33	97	87	49	43	88	62	45	73
Woodson.....	27	25	52	28	26	26	9	17	42	81	10	13	81	36	24	67
Wyandotte.....	350	263	643	279	364	333	241	69	514	80	214	91	43	429	259	60
Totals.....	6,701	4,723	11,424	5,655	5,769	4,774	2,576	4,074	9,534	83	4,177	2,519	60	7,247	4,602	64

PROBATE COURTS
TABLE C-3. GUARDIANSHIPS AND TRUSTS—YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Guardianships.										Trusts.			
	Number pending July 1, 1945.	Number filed since July 1, 1945.	Total number.	Bonds.			Inventory or account during year.		Wards.		Closed during year.	Pending July 1, 1946.	Number under supervision.	Number of accounts during year.
			Personal.	Surety.	None.	Number.	Percent of total.	Minors.	Insane or incompetent.					
Allen.....	57	12	36	27	6	36	59	42	27	8	61	2	2	6
Anderson.....	47	13	37	8	15	28	47	30	30	8	52	7	7	6
Atchison.....	49	16	29	35	1	51	79	33	32	13	52	8	8	6
Barber.....	24	10	5	5	4	27	79	18	16	2	32	2	2	2
Barton.....	123	22	98	34	13	71	49	103	42	11	134	14	14	7
Bourbon.....	59	14	44	25	4	41	56	40	33	9	64	5	5	1
Brown.....	57	12	31	36	2	46	67	35	34	6	63	13	13	6
Butler.....	78	13	64	19	8	43	47	58	33	9	82	13	13	5
Chase.....	9	8	9	5	3	15	88	9	8	2	15	2	2	2
Chautauqua.....	7	9	8	3	5	11	69	10	6	2	14	0	0	0
Cherokee.....	145	28	90	44	39	93	54	93	80	15	158	7	7	6
Cheyenne.....	10	6	15	0	1	9	56	11	5	1	15	1	1	0
Clark.....	6	2	6	16	0	5	63	4	4	1	7	0	0	0
Clay.....	64	12	76	55	5	56	74	35	41	8	68	15	15	8
Cloud.....	68	9	77	17	5	50	65	34	43	12	65	7	7	4
Coffey.....	27	5	15	15	2	24	75	17	15	7	25	3	3	3
Comanche.....	20	6	17	8	1	19	73	18	8	5	21	2	2	1
Cowley.....	95	13	72	36	0	68	63	57	51	17	91	18	18	5
Crawford.....	90	23	64	42	7	65	57	79	34	10	103	12	12	6
Decatur.....	2	1	3	1	0	2	67	2	1	0	3	0	0	0
Dickinson.....	133	19	100	36	16	129	82	75	77	23	129	22	22	22
Doniphan.....	60	7	67	2	2	52	78	38	29	5	62	12	12	8
Douglas.....	80	20	100	45	7	45	45	73	27	10	90	21	21	15
Edwards.....	32	6	38	32	4	18	47	27	11	3	35	3	3	1
Elk.....	24	3	27	18	3	14	52	18	9	2	25	0	0	0

TABLE C-3.—CONTINUED. Guardianships and trusts—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTIES.	Guardianships.										Trusts.			
	Number pending July 1, 1945.	Number filed since July 1, 1945.	Total number.	Bonds.		Inventory or account during year.	Wards.		Closed during year.	Pending July 1, 1946.	Number under supervision.	Number of accounts during year.		
				Personal.	Surety.		None.	Number.					Percent of total.	Minors.
Ellis.....	27	8	35	26	7	2	14	40	29	6	2	33	3	1
Ellsworth.....	41	14	55	27	12	16	28	51	17	38	3	52	5	2
Finney.....	27	2	29	16	3	10	6	21	24	5	3	26	0	0
Ford.....	84	16	100	53	17	25	29	29	56	44	4	96	2	2
Franklin.....	61	17	78	66	12	0	39	50	49	29	10	68	20	7
Geary.....	42	20	62	29	28	5	42	68	37	25	4	58	4	3
Gove.....	29	0	29	24	3	2	5	17	23	6	2	27	4	0
Graham.....	6	0	6	2	4	0	3	50	3	3	0	6	3	2
Grant.....	2	3	5	4	0	1	4	80	4	1	0	5	0	0
Gray.....	13	7	20	19	0	1	18	90	13	7	3	17	0	0
Greeley.....	3	3	6	4	1	1	3	50	4	2	0	6	0	0
Greenwood.....	30	8	38	25	13	0	22	58	17	21	7	31	4	4
Hamilton.....	9	5	14	8	6	0	13	93	8	6	6	8	0	0
Harper.....	55	7	62	43	9	5	30	48	41	21	9	53	16	10
Harvey.....	55	18	73	41	27	5	48	66	38	35	5	68	13	6
Haskell.....	6	2	8	3	2	3	3	38	4	4	2	6	1	0
Hodgeman.....	4	1	5	4	1	0	4	80	3	2	2	3	3	2
Jackson.....	15	8	23	19	3	1	16	70	22	1	5	18	3	4
Jefferson.....	36	9	45	29	10	6	30	67	29	16	3	42	1	1
Jewell.....	17	2	19	14	5	0	10	53	5	14	5	14	2	1
Johnson.....	72	26	98	49	37	12	58	59	72	26	11	87	11	8
Kearny.....	2	4	6	3	0	3	6	100	4	2	4	5	0	0
Kingman.....	15	7	22	16	5	1	16	73	17	5	4	18	2	1
Kiowa.....	16	7	23	20	2	1	11	48	18	5	2	21	1	0
Labette.....	102	35	137	63	38	36	92	83	70	67	26	111	8	7

TABLE C-3.—CONTINUED. Guardianships and trusts—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTRIES.	Guardianships.										Trusts.		
	Number pending July 1, 1945.	Number since filed July 1, 1945.	Total number.	Bonds.		Inventory or account during year.		Wards.		Closed during year.	Pending July 1, 1946.	Number under supervision.	Number of accounts during year.
				Personal.	Surety.	None.	Number.	Percent of total.	Minors.				
Lane.....	5	0	5	4	1	0	2	40	4	1	4	0	0
Leavenworth.....	66	27	93	22	64	7	81	87	12	12	81	8	6
Lincoln.....	37	12	49	33	11	5	37	86	32	6	43	1	1
Linn.....	18.	2	20	13	1	6	7	35	10	1	19	1	0
Logan.....	3	1	4	0	4	0	2	50	1	1	3	0	0
Lyon.....	55	24	79	56	22	1	37	47	40	0	79	4	1
Marion.....	96	12	108	92	16	0	57	53	73	5	103	11	7
Marshall.....	60	17	77	63	12	2	46	60	41	68	10	4	4
McPherson.....	83	19	102	94	8	0	47	46	72	30	89	8	5
Meade.....	4	3	7	6	1	0	7	100	3	1	6	1	1
Miami.....	53	14	67	40	9	18	30	45	41	5	62	5	2
Mitchell.....	39	23	62	43	5	14	35	56	34	8	54	1	1
Montgomery.....	111	28	139	24	96	19	92	66	95	44	113	18	15
Morris.....	29	8	37	30	7	0	29	78	21	26	9	0	0
Morton.....	1	3	4	4	0	0	4	100	3	2	2	0	0
Nemaha.....	71	8	79	63	16	0	56	71	41	16	63	13	11
Neosho.....	70	19	89	41	22	26	57	64	44	3	80	3	1
Ness.....	24	3	27	24	3	0	17	63	20	3	24	1	1
Norton.....	7	5	12	5	7	0	11	92	6	4	8	0	0
Ossage.....	42	10	52	19	30	3	35	67	20	11	41	6	6
Osborne.....	27	9	36	31	2	3	20	56	18	3	33	4	2
Ottawa.....	25	5	30	18	10	2	26	27	16	4	23	4	4
Pawnee.....	20	2	22	15	2	5	5	23	16	2	20	0	0
Phillips.....	12	12	24	23	0	1	18	75	13	3	21	0	0
Pottawatomie.....	44	10	54	45	7	2	29	54	33	11	45	8	4
Pratt.....	62	12	74	63	3	8	22	30	57	3	71	5	1
Rawlins.....	27	8	35	31	1	3	17	49	30	1	34	4	3
Reno.....	117	52	169	125	39	5	86	51	110	14	155	24	7
Republic.....	63	13	76	54	19	3	46	73	47	13	63	10	9
Rice.....	31	11	42	29	5	8	24	57	35	7	35	7	5

TABLE C-3.—CONCLUDED. Guardianships and trusts—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTRIES.	Guardianships.										Trusts.		
	Number pending July 1, 1945.	Number filed since July 1, 1945.	Total number.	Bonds.		Inventory or account during year.		Wards.		Closed during year.	Pending July 1, 1946.	Number under supervision.	Number of accounts during year.
				Personal.	Surety.	None.	Number.	Percent of total.	Minors.				
Riley.....	81	26	107	69	31	7	53	50	65	42	11	11	8
Rooks.....	22	9	31	26	5	0	26	84	21	10	5	8	7
Rush.....	38	11	49	38	4	7	16	33	34	15	3	5	2
Russell.....	64	16	80	68	8	4	44	55	64	16	7	3	1
Saline.....	47	21	68	53	15	0	39	57	42	26	4	12	10
Scott.....	3	3	6	5	0	1	6	100	1	5	0	1	1
Sedgwick.....	307	95	402	307	47	48	149	37	325	77	34	36	17
Seward.....	30	6	36	23	9	4	22	61	19	17	5	3	1
Shawnee.....	300	82	382	114	243	25	250	65	186	196	84	34	9
Sheridan.....	5	2	7	5	2	0	4	57	4	3	0	1	1
Sherman.....	16	2	18	10	7	1	2	13	11	7	0	0	0
Smith.....	18	7	25	22	3	0	9	36	14	11	0	2	0
Stafford.....	45	11	56	47	8	1	32	57	42	14	6	4	3
Stanton.....	1	2	3	0	0	2	3	100	3	0	1	0	0
Stevens.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Sumner.....	92	30	122	64	39	19	83	68	55	67	14	16	2
Thomas.....	3	1	4	2	2	0	3	75	4	0	2	2	0
Trego.....	12	0	12	6	5	1	9	75	8	4	1	2	1
Wabunsee.....	35	6	41	39	1	1	16	39	24	17	6	2	0
Wallace.....	0	2	2	0	1	1	2	100	2	0	0	0	0
Washington.....	33	11	44	34	9	1	30	68	26	18	4	3	2
Wichita.....	0	3	3	3	0	0	3	100	3	0	0	0	0
Wilson.....	88	20	108	82	11	15	42	39	60	48	6	11	7
Woodson.....	16	9	25	11	13	1	23	92	10	15	4	2	2
Wyandotte.....	234	75	309	177	130	2	196	63	190	119	47	17	6
Totals.....	4,927	1,840	6,267	3,962	1,733	572	3,612	58	3,793	2,474	756	621	353

TABLE D-1.—DISPOSITION OF CIVIL CASES, COUNTY COURTS, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1946

Countries.	Total number cases.	Cases dismissed.	Cases not con- tested (default judg- ments).	Trials.			Number pend- ing.	Execu- tions issued.	Gar- nish- ments.	Attach- ments.	Re- plevin.	Forcible de- tainer.
				Total number.	By							
					court.	jury.						
Allen.....	10	2	1	3	0	4	0	2	0	0	1	
Brown.....	14	6	5	0	0	3	3	3	2	1	0	
Butler.....	14	22	8	19	0	18	2	1	1	0	0	
Clark.....	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Clay.....	17	8	5	3	3	1	4	1	0	6	6	
Cloud.....	9	4	5	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	
Coffey.....	3	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	
Conanche.....	6	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	
Decatur.....	4	0	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	
Dickinson.....	24	6	3	13	0	2	1	0	8	1	0	
Doniphan.....	16	4	1	6	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	
Douglas.....	64	24	17	14	3	6	8	8	9	4	8	
Edwards.....	18	10	0	3	0	5	0	3	0	2	0	
Finney.....	43	15	7	17	2	2	3	6	1	3	0	
Ford.....	41	18	6	5	5	12	0	4	2	1	5	
Geary.....	28	9	14	3	0	2	0	3	0	2	2	
Gove.....	4	3	1	1	0	0	0	4	3	0	4	
Greenwood.....	21	5	6	7	1	3	4	7	0	2	4	
Harvey.....	22	9	8	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	
Hodgeman.....	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Jewell.....	11	5	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	
Kearny.....	9	2	2	5	0	0	0	4	4	2	1	
Marion.....	13	4	4	3	2	2	0	0	4	0	1	
Marshall.....	22	16	0	6	0	0	0	4	6	2	0	
Mitchell.....	13	0	11	0	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	

TABLE D-1.—CONTINUED. Disposition of civil cases, county courts—year ending June 30, 1946

COUNTRIES.	Total number cases.	Cases dismissed.	Cases not con- tested (default judg- ments).	Trials.			Number pend- ing.	Appeals.	Execu- tions issued.	Gar- nish- ments.	Attach- ments.	Re- plevin.	Forcible de- tainer.
				Total number.	By								
					By court.	By jury.							
Morris.....	5	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	
Ness.....	5	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Osage.....	21	14	5	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	
Osborne.....	6	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Pawnee.....	10	4	5	1	1	0	2	2	2	1	0	2	
Phillips.....	14	10	0	2	0	0	2	1	2	4	0	0	
Pottawatomie.....	8	3	4	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	
Rawlins.....	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Republic.....	15	6	3	3	0	4	1	4	4	1	0	0	
Rice.....	16	9	2	4	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	
Rooks.....	8	0	4	3	0	0	1	4	0	0	2	4	
Rush.....	5	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Russell.....	23	9	3	1	0	10	1	6	3	0	2	6	
Scott.....	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Stafford.....	25	17	0	6	0	2	0	2	5	0	0	1	
Stevens.....	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
Thomas.....	6	0	5	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	
Trego.....	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Wabausee.....	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	
Wallace.....	4	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	2	2	0	0	
Washington.....	11	7	0	0	0	4	0	1	2	0	0	2	
Woodson.....	13	1	5	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	
Totals.....	688	277	150	153	143	10	20	100	102	52	43	49	

TABLE D-1.—CONCLUDED. Disposition of civil cases, city courts—year ending June 30, 1946

Cities.	Total number cases.	Cases dismissed.	Cases not contested (default judgments).	Trials.			Number pending.	Appeals.	Executions issued.	Garnishments.	Attachments.	Replevin.	Forcible detainer.
				Total number.	By court.	By jury.							
Arkansas City.....	76	24	27	15	14	1	10	3	14	3	1	2	
Atchison.....	134	14	108	7	7	0	5	0	80	0	2	10	
Chanute.....	24	8	13	0	0	0	3	0	11	0	1	7	
Coffeyville.....	334	53	227	16	16	0	38	3	236	2	12	19	
Hutchinson.....	254	82	76	49	49	0	47	6	84	2	27	0	
Kansas City.....	1,454	235	784	156	155	1	279	55	580	17	138	303	
Leavenworth.....	94	40	14	31	31	0	9	9	21	3	8	0	
Pittsburg.....	79	32	15	22	22	0	10	3	14	5	9	25	
Salina.....	166	106	20	21	20	1	19	5	28	3	10	23	
Topeka.....	540	171	232	88	88	0	49	16	155	3	12	1	
Wichita.....	1,792	636	595	246	245	1	315	51	575	5	126	175	
Totals.....	4,947	1,401	2,111	651	647	4	784	151	1,798	43	346	565	

MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

WALTER G. THIELE, <i>Chairman.</i> (1941-)	Topeka
Justice of the Supreme Court.	
RANDAL C. HARVEY, <i>Secretary.</i> (1941-)	Topeka
EDGAR C. BENNETT. (1938-)	Marysville
Judge Twenty-first Judicial District.	
GEORGE TEMPLAR. (1939-1941, 1943-)	Arkansas City
SAMUEL E. BARTLETT. (1941-)	Wichita
JAMES E. TAYLOR. (1941-)	Sharon Springs
C. A. SPENCER. (1944-)	Oakley
Judge Twenty-third Judicial District.	
JOHN A. ETILING. (1945-)	Kinsley
Chairman Senate Judiciary Committee.	
CHARLES VANCE. (1945-)	Liberal
Chariman House Judiciary Committee.	

FORMER MEMBERS OF THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL

W. W. HARVEY. (<i>Chairman</i> , 1927-1941)	Ashland
J. C. RUPPENTHAL. (<i>Secretary</i> , 1927-1941)	Russell
EDWARD L. FISCHER. (1927-1943)	Kansas City
ROBERT C. FOULSTON. (1927-1943)	Wichita
CHARLES L. HUNT. (1927-1941)	Concordia
CHESTER STEVENS. (1927-1941)	Independence
JOHN W. DAVIS. (1927-1933)	Greensburg
C. W. BURCH. (1927-1931)	Salina
ARTHUR C. SCATES. (1927-1929)	Dodge City
WALTER PLEASANT. (1929-1931)	Ottawa
ROSCOE H. WILSON. (1931-1933)	Jetmore
GEORGE AUSTIN BROWN. (1931-1933)	Wichita
RAY H. BEALS. (1933-1938)	St. John
HAL E. HARLAN. (1933-1935)	Manhattan
SCHUYLER C. BLOSS. (1933-1935)	Winfield
E. H. REES. (1935-1937)	Emporia
O. P. MAY. (1935-1937)	Atchison
KIRKE W. DALE. (1937-1941)	Arkansas City
HARRY W. FISCHER. (1937-1939)	Fort Scott
PAUL R. WUNSCH. (1941-1943)	Kingman
WALTER F. JONES. (1941-1945)	Hutchinson
GROVER PIERPONT. (1943-1944)	Wichita
I. M. PLATT. (1943-1945)	Junction City

Sec. 562, P. L. & R.

U. S. POSTAGE

PAID

Topeka, Kansas

Permit No. 421.

PRINTED BY
FERD VOILAND, JR., STATE PRINTER
TOPEKA, KANSAS

1946



21-5457